

EARTH VENTURE Instrument-1

Ground Rules for the draft PEA Workshop

Kenneth W. Jucks

Earth Venture Instrument-1 Program Scientist

Mitra Dutta

Earth Venture Instrument-1 Program Executive Science Mission Directorate



WORKSHOP GOALS

- •To provide an overview of the draft EVI-1 PEA to the draft SALMON-2 AO
- To collect comments on the draft announcement
- To address questions



EVI-1 AO Major Milestones

SALMON-2 draft AO Release Date

SALMON-2 comments were due

EVI-1 Draft PEA

EVI-1 PEA comments are due

EVI-1/SALMON-2 final release

NOI/proposal due date

Additional information:

http://essp.larc.nasa.gov/EV-I

August 24, 2011

Sept. 16, 2011

Sept. 29, 2011

Oct. 28, 2011

2-4 months later

TBD



EVI-1 Draft PEA Workshop: Rules of the Road

- The final solicitation (when completed) will be the **governing** document. Changes to both the EVI-1 PEA and the SALMON-2 AO are expected.
 - The workshop's purpose is to provide an overview of the PEA and any needed clarification.
 - All presentations are to provide information on the solicitation in order to provide clarity to the solicitation, and solicit appropriate comments.
 - The comments and questions during this workshop, and later written comments, will provide input for the final solicitations.
- There will be opportunities for Q&A following every speaker
 - Attendees may submit written, anonymous questions (or oral non-anonymous)
 - Speakers may answer "we'll look into that" when responses require research or further discussion by NASA
 - All Q&A will be captured by a note taker and posted in the FAQ (posted at http://essp.larc.nasa.gov/EV-I/)
- All workshop presentations will be posted on the EVI-1 AO Workshop page (http://essp.larc.nasa.gov/EV-I/)



PRESENTATIONS

- Presentations involve top level policy, process, and constraints
- This is your opportunity to comment on AO language, policies and processes (however, some policies are law)
- Presentations and Q&A will be posted on the acquisition web site:

http://essp.larc.nasa.gov/EV-I/



EARTH VENTURE Instrument-1 Draft PEA WORKSHOP AGENDA

12:00	Workshop Ground Rules	Ken Jucks, NASA HQ
12:10	Earth Venture Background & EVI	Mitra Dutta, NASA HQ
12:30	EVI-1 PEA Science and Science Evaluation	Ken Jucks, NASA HQ
12:50	EVI-1 PEA and TMC Evaluation	Cindy Daniels, SOMA NASA, LaRC
1:10	ESSP Program Management of EVI-1 Mission	Frank Peri, NASA ESSP, LaRC
1:20	Common Instrument Interface	Craig Jones, NASA ESSP, LaRC
1:40	International Participation/Export Control	Chris Blackerby, NASA HQ OIIR
1:50	Questions & Answers	
3:00	End of Workshop	



QUESTIONS

- Answers to ALL FAQs will be posted in association with the 'draft' EVI-1 PEA.
- Comments to the draft can be submitted until October 28, 2011.
- Questions submitted today will be addressed as time permits and as appropriate answers can be generated.

 WebEx users please e-mail (subject line to read " EVI-1 PEA") questions to

kenneth.w.jucks@nasa.gov



DISCLAIMER

Any costs incurred by prospective investigators in preparing submissions in response to this Draft PEA to the SALMON-2 AO are incurred completely at the submitter's own risk.



Backup slides for reference





Workshop Rules

- Questions allowed and encouraged
- For at least three kind of questions:

If the <u>Answer</u> is: The <u>Translation</u> is:

That question is easily answered, ... The questioner may not have read the solicitation

That question is not relevant, ... A non-issue, doesn't matter

An excellent question, I'll get back

Yikes, hadn't thought of that;

to you.

I'd better not say anything definitive

- Questions relating to clarification of a point during presentations are allowed
- Save questions of application for after presentation



Example Question (example from EV-2)

Question 001: The level of detail called out in Requirement B-32 of the EV-2 AO does not appear consistent with the level of risk associated with flying a Class D payload. Can this requirement be relaxed, and if so, to what extent?

• A001: No. Requirement B-32 of the EV-2 AO states "This section shall address the following flight system capabilities to the extent that they are applicable to the proposed mission and that they are known at the time of proposal submission." The level of detail is not the same as level of risk. The level of detail required allows NASA to evaluate why the proposer thinks the investigation plan will be successful if everything works as planned; the level of risk has to do with the probability that everything will go as planned. The information provided in the proposal is what will be used to assess scientific implementation merit and the likelihood that the mission can be successfully implemented as proposed, including an assessment of the likelihood of their completion within the proposed cost and schedule.Posted 06/24/2011