

Space Administration



Document Number: CII-CI-0001 Version: Baseline

Common Instrument Interface Project

Hosted Payload Guidelines Document

Earth System Science Pathfinder Program Office NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23681

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Deter 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 2 of 114	

Submitted By:

0

Craig Jones CII Project Manager

11/21/2011 Date

Approved By:

Frank Peri ESSP Program Director

/11 11/22, Date

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
		Page 3 of 114	

Change Log			
Version	Date	Section Affected	Description
Baseline	11/11/2011	4.0	Removed Class D from set of allowable Mission Risk Classifications
Baseline	11/11/2011	5.1.2	Updated guidelines related to OFF mode
Baseline	11/11/2011	5.1.3	Updated guidelines related to SURVIVAL mode
Baseline	11/11/2011	5.1.6	Updated guidelines related to SAFE mode
Baseline	11/11/2011	5.2.2	Changed paragraph title from "Allowed Mode Transitions" to "Preferred Mode Transitions"
Baseline	11/11/2011	12.8	Updated guidelines related to Contamination Analysis
Baseline	11/11/2011	12.10	Updated nomenclature and diction related to Particulate and Molecular Cleanliness

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 4 of 114	

Table of Contents

1.0	OVERVIEW	
1.1	Introduction	10
1.2	Needs, Charter, Goals and Objectives	10
1.5	Nomenclature	11
1.4		11
2.0	REFERENCE DOCUMENTS	
2.1	NASA Documents	11
2.2	Government Documents	12
2.3	Other Documents	13
3.0	CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS	
3.1	Low Earth Orbit (LEO)	13
4.0	LEVEL 1 DESIGN GUIDELINES	
4.1	Supporting Analysis for Level 1 Design Guidelines	14
4.2	NICM Cumulative Distribution Analysis	17
5.0	INSTRUMENT MODES	
5.1	Mode Guidelines	20
5.2	Mode Transitions	22
60	DATA INTERFACE GUIDELINES	24
6.1	Introduction	24
6.2	Data Interface	26
6.3	Spacecraft Status	27
6.4	Command and Telemetry	27
6.5	Data Management	28
6.6	Flight Software	28
7.0	ELECTRICAL POWER GUIDELINES	
7.1	Introduction	29
7.2	Electrical Interface	30
7.3	Power Specifications	30
7.4	Grounds, Returns and References	32
1.5	Harness	33
8.0	MECHANICAL GUIDELINES	
8.1	Introduction	34
8.2	Instrument Envelopes	35
8.3 9.4	Instrument Mass	3/
0.4 8 5	Mechanisms	3/
8.6	Structural Characteristics	37
0.0		27
9.0	I HEKMAL GUIDELINES	

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
		Page 5 of 114

9.1	Introduction	37
9.2	Thermal Design at the Mechanical Interface	38
9.3	Thermal Design	38
9.4	Temperature Maintenance	38
9.5	Thermal Hardware	39
9.6	Thermal Design Verification and Validation	39
10.0	ENVIRONMENTAL GUIDELINES	39
10.1	Introduction	39
10.2	Goals	39
10.3	Drivers	39
10.4	Launch Environment	39
10.5	Dynamics Environment	40
10.6	Thermal Environment	43
10.7	Electromagnetic Interference & Compatibility Environment	44
10.8	Radiation Environment	44
10.9	Electrostatic Discharge Environment	53
10.10	Solid Particles Environment	53
10.11	Atomic Oxygen Environment	54
11.0	SOFTWARE AND ELECTRONIC GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT GUIDELINES	54
11.1	Introduction	54
11.2	Instrument Flight Software Guidelines	54
11.3	Instrument Ground Support Equipment Software Guidelines	55
11.4	Instrument GSE to Spacecraft I&T GSE Interface	55
12.0	CONTAMINATION	55
12.1	Introduction	55
12.2	Contamination Control Guidelines	56
12.3	Instrument Sources of Contamination	56
12.4	Instrument Venting	56
12.5	Protective Covers	56
12.6	Instrument Purge Requirements	56
12.7	Instrument Inspection and Cleaning During I&T	57
12.8	Contamination Analysis Guidelines	57
12.9	Spacecraft Contractor Supplied Analysis Inputs	57
12.10	Particulate and Molecular Cleanliness	57
12.11	GSE Cleanliness Guidelines	58
12.12	Wiring and MLI Cleanliness Guidelines	58
13.0	COORDINATE REFERENCE FRAMES	58
13.1	Spacecraft Host Coordinate Reference Frame	58
13.2	Instrument Payload Coordinate Reference Frame	59
13.3	Nominal Orbit	61
14.0	MODEL GUIDELINES AND SUBMITTAL DETAILS	61

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
	Page 6 of 114		
E	Effective Date: 11/14/2011		

14.1	Finite Element Model Submittal6	1
14.2	Thermal Math Model Submittal64	4
14.3	Mechanical Computer Aided Design (CAD) Model Submittal6	5
14.4	Mass Model6	5
15.0	ACRONYMS AND SCIENTIFIC UNITS	6
15.1	Acronyms6	6
15.2	Units of Measure64	8
15.3	Metric Prefixes6	9
16.0	REFERENCE MATERIAL / BEST PRACTICES	9
16.1	Data Interface Reference Material / Best Practices6	9
16.2	Electrical Power Interface Reference Material / Best Practices84	4
16.3	Mechanical Interface Reference Material / Best Practices 10	0
16.4	Thermal Interface Reference Material / Best Practices 102	3
16.5	Environmental Reference Material / Best Practices 102	5

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 7 of 114	

List of Tables

Table 4-1: Top (Level 1) CII Guidelines	14
Table 4-2: Distribution of NICM Instruments Among Science Mission Directorate Divisions	14
Table 7-1: Instrument Power Allocation	31
Table 7-2: Power Source Impedance	32
Table 10-1: Sinusoidal Vibration Environment	41
Table 10-2: Random Vibration Environment	41
Table 10-3: Acoustic Noise Environment	42
Table 10-4: Thermal Radiation Environment	44
Table 10-5: Total Differential Fluence of Trapped Protons and Galactic Cosmic Ray Protons	47
Table 10-6: Total Differential Fluence of Trapped Protons, Galactic Cosmic Ray Protons, and	
Solar Flare Protons	48
Table 10-7: Total Integral Galactic Cosmic Ray LET Spectrum	52
Table 10-8: Solar Flare Proton Peak Fluxes and Associated Total Event Integral Fluences	53
Table 10-9: Solid Particle Fluence	54
Table 16-1: CII Message Types	71
Table 16-2: CCSDS Primary Header Fields	75
Table 16-3: CII Command Source	78
Table 16-4: CII Command ID's	78
Table 16-5: Surface Resistivity	92
Table 16-6: Instrument Power Connector Pin Out Definition	96
Table 16-7 : Magnetic Field Emissions (RE04) 10	05
Table 16-8 : Magnetic Field Susceptibility (RS01) 10	06

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Degument No: CIL CI 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 8 of 114	

List of Figures

Figure 4-1: Instrument Mass vs. Development Cost	. 15
Figure 4-2: Power as a Function of Mass	. 16
Figure 4-3: Trend of Mean Instrument Data Rates	. 17
Figure 4-4: NICM Sample Instrument Mass Histogram	. 18
Figure 4-5: NICM Sample Mass Cumulative Plot.	. 19
Figure 4-6: NICM Sample Power Cumulative Plot	. 19
Figure 4-7: NICM Sample Data Rate Cumulative Plot	. 20
Figure 5-1: Instrument Mode Transitions	. 21
Figure 7-1: Spacecraft-Instrument Electrical Interface	. 30
Figure 8-1: Spatial Envelopes for 50 kg and 100 kg Instruments with Instrument Payload	
Coordinate Frame	. 36
Figure 10-1: Mass Acceleration Curve	. 40
Figure 10-2: Mechanical Shock Environment	. 43
Figure 10-3: TID versus Shielding Thickness	. 46
Figure 10-4: Total Differential Fluence of Trapped Protons and Galactic Cosmic Ray Protons	. 49
Figure 10-5: Total Differential Fluence of Trapped Protons, Galactic Cosmic Ray Protons, and	1
Solar Flare Protons	. 50
Figure 10-6: Total Integral Galactic Cosmic Ray LET Spectrum	. 51
Figure 13-1: Spacecraft Coordinate Reference Frame Location & Orientation	. 59
Figure 13-2: Instrument Coordinate Reference Frame Location & Orientation	. 60
Figure 16-1: CII SpaceWire Packet	. 71
Figure 16-2: CII CCSDS Packet Data	. 73
Figure 16-3: CCSDS Primary Header	. 73
Figure 16-4 CCSDS Secondary Header (Time)	. 73
Figure 16-5: CII Spacecraft Status Message Packet	. 76
Figure 16-6: Data portion of CII Spacecraft Status Message Packet	. 76
Figure 16-7: CII Command Message Packet	. 77
Figure 16-8: Data portion of CII Command Message Packet	. 78
Figure 16-9: CII Command Acknowledgement Packet	. 80
Figure 16-10: Data portion of CII Command Acknowledgement Packet	. 80
Figure 16-11: CII Telemetry Packet	. 82
Figure 16-12: Data portion of CII Telemetry Packet	. 82
Figure 16-13: CII Science Data Packet	. 84
Figure 16-14: Data portion of CII Science Data Packet	. 84
Figure 16-15: Maximum Inrush Current	. 88
Figure 16-16: Instrument Side Power Feed #1 Bus A & Bus B	. 96
Figure 16-17: Instrument Side Power Feed #2 Bus A & Bus B	. 96
Figure 16-18: Instrument Side Survival Heater Feed Bus A & Bus B	. 96
Figure 16-19: Power Connector Keying	. 98
Figure 16-20: Conducted Emissions Environment (Reference)	107
Figure 16-21: Radiated Emissions Environment (Reference)	108
Figure 16-22: Conducted Susceptibility Voltage Limits (Reference)	109
Figure 16-23: Conducted Susceptibility Power Limits (Reference)	110

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 9 of 114	

Figure 16-24: Conducted Susceptibility Current Limits (Reference	e)
Figure 16-25: Conducted Susceptibility Current Limits (Reference	e)
Figure 16-26: Conducted Susceptibility Waveform (Reference)	
Figure 16-27: Conducted Susceptibility Current Limits (Reference	e)

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 10 of 114	

1.0 OVERVIEW

1.1 Introduction

NASA's Earth Science Division (ESD) initiated the Common Instrument Interface (CII) Working Group and assigned implementation responsibility to the Earth System Science Pathfinder (ESSP) Program Office. ESD will develop Earth Science Instruments to be flown as hosted payloads on Hosted Payload Opportunities (HPO). In an effort to ensure broad representation from the NASA Centers involved in the development of Earth Science instrument, the ESSP Program Office formed a working group with representation from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), the Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC), the Ames Research Center (ARC), the Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC), and the Langley Research Center (LaRC). Additionally, the CII Working Group held workshops in Fiscal Year 2011 to receive input from other NASA organizations, Department of Defense, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, John Hopkins Applied Physics Lab, and numerous commercial spacecraft and instrument developers.

The development of the instruments as well as HPO will be conducted independently of each other with the goal of matching a specific instrument with a specific HPO by the instrument Key Decision Point (KDP) C timeframe. In an effort to facilitate this matching process, the CII Working Group is developing a set of common instrument-to-spacecraft interfaces. These common interfaces are captured as guidelines in this document, and the instrument developer is encouraged to use these guidelines to the extent practical for their specific instrument.

Initially, this effort has focused on Low Earth Orbit (LEO) missions based on historical data and an understanding of anticipated future trends. Recently, the CII Working Group has started investigating Geostationary Earth Orbit (GEO) missions, whose common interfaces are in the early stages of development. Consequently, the initial release of this document includes guidelines for LEO only. Subsequent releases will include GEO instrument-to-spacecraft interfaces, updates to LEO, and will also reflect payload interface data from actual HPO's.

1.2 Needs, Charter, Goals and Objectives

ESD wants to maximize its ability to collect science data, but does not have the resources to develop and operate single-mission projects in all cases. The increasing cost of launch vehicles and spacecraft forces ESD to drastically reduce mission scope, limiting its ability to answer their mandate to achieve systematic Earth Science objectives. ESD looks to broaden its approach and ability to acquire measurements from orbit by concentrating on instrument development. ESD intends to fly its instruments on non-NASA provided spacecraft in addition to future NASA platforms.

The charter for the CII Working Group is to work with industry, academia, and other government agencies to develop a set of common instrument-to-spacecraft interfaces that could serve as guidelines for instrument developers. If implemented, these guidelines would minimize interface complexities and improve the probability of matching a given instrument with an HPO.

In order to meet this need, the CII Working Group sets the following goals:

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Deter 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 11 of 114	

- 1) Develop a set of technical instrument interface guidelines that allow instrument and spacecraft developers to independently proceed in early life-cycle stages and successfully integrate following KDP C.
- 2) Identify hardware and software mitigations to address incompatibilities that may arise and implement these mitigations consistent with ESD approval
- 3) Maximize the science data returned given the constraints of the host spacecraft's dynamics

CII Working Group objectives that validate project success are:

- 1) Earth Science standalone instruments are matched with a host spacecraft no later than KDP C
- 2) All interface disconnects identified at KDP C are mitigated no later than CDR
- 3) The hosted payload instrument meets a high percentage of its own science objectives without impacting the host spacecraft's prime mission

1.3 Nomenclature

The term "should" denotes an optional, but desired, guideline. The term "may" denotes an optional specification. The words "is", "are", and "will" indicate statements of fact.

Hosted Payload: a payload manifested on a spacecraft bus flying on a primary space mission.

<u>Hosted Payload Opportunity</u>: a spacecraft bus flying on a primary space mission with surplus resources to accommodate a hosted payload.

<u>Secondary Payload</u>: a small spacecraft flying on a primary space mission, paying only the additive costs of integration, and willing to be deployed into the prime payload's insertion orbit after its separation.

1.4 **Document Organization**

This document is organized into two parts. Sections 1 through 14 describe the top-level guidelines. Section 15 lists acronyms and scientific unit abbreviations, and Section 16 includes reference material and best practices.

2.0 REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

2.1 NASA Documents

- GSFC 420-05-01, Rev. A, Earth Observing System (EOS) Performance Assurance Requirements for EOS General Instruments, August 1991.
- GSFC 420-05-04, Earth Observing System (EOS) Performance Assurance Requirements for EOS Common Spacecraft, 3 January 1994.
- GSFC 422-11-122-01, Rev. B, General Interface Requirements Document (GIRD) for EOS Common Spacecraft/Instruments, August 1998.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 12 of 114	

- GSFC- PPL-21, Goddard Space Flight Center Preferred Parts List, May 1996.
- GSFC-S-311-P-4/09 Rev. C, Connectors, Electrical, Polarized Shell, Rack and Panel, for Space Use, 7 June 1991.
- GSFC-STD-1000, Rules for the Design, Development, Verification, and Operation of Flight Systems (GOLD Rules), 3 August 2009.
- GSFC-STD-7000, General Environmental Verification Standard (GEVS) For GSFC Flight Programs and Projects, April 2005.
- GSFC, EOS: Common Spacecraft Radiation Environment, April 1993.
- NASA-HDBK-4001, Electrical Grounding Architecture for Unmanned Spacecraft, 17 February 1998
- NASA-STD-4003, Electrical Bonding for Launch Vehicles, Spacecraft, Payloads and Flight Equipment, 8 September 2003
- NPR 7150.2A, NASA Software Engineering Requirements, 19 November 2009.
- NPR 8705.4, Risk Classification for NASA Payloads, 9 July 2008.

2.2 Government Documents

- AFSPCMAN 91-710, US Air Force Space Command Range Safety User Requirements Manual, 1 February 2007.
- Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle Secondary Payload Adapter Rideshare Users Guide (ESPA RUG), Department of Defense Space Test Program, May 2010.
- FED-STD-H28A, *Federal Standard: Screw-Thread Standards for Federal Services*, 10 September 2001.
- MIL-DTL-24308, General Specification for Connectors, Electric, Rectangular, Nonenvironmental, Miniature, Polarized Shell, Rack and Panel, w/ Amendment 1, Revision G, 25 January 2011.
- MIL-DTL-83513, General Specification for Connectors, Electrical, Rectangular, Microminiature, Polarized Shell, Revision G, 29 October 2008.
- MIL-HDBK-454, General Requirements for Electrical and Electronic Equipment, Revision B, 15 April 2007.
- MIL-HDBK-1547A, *Electronic Parts, Materials, and Processes for Space and Launch Vehicles,* 6 July 1998.
- MIL-STD-461F, Requirements for the Control of Electromagnetic Interference Characteristics of Subsystems and Equipment, 10 December 2007.
- MIL-STD-462, Measurement of Electromagnetic Interference Characteristics, 13 July 1967.
- MIL-STD-464A, Bonding, Electrical, and Lightning Protection for Aerospace Systems, 18 March 1997.
- MIL-STD-882, Standard Practice for System Safety, 10 February 2000.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
		Page 13 of 114	

- MIL-STD-1246C, Product Cleanliness Levels Contamination Control Program, 15 February 2002.
- MIL-STD-1512, Electroexplosive Subsystems, Electrically Initiated, Design Requirements and Test Methods, 30 September 1997.
- MIL-STD-1553B, Aircraft Internal Time Division Command/Response Multiplex Data Bus, 30 April 1975.
- Space Test Program Standard Interface Vehicle (STP-SIV) Payload User's Guide, 15 June 2008.

2.3 Other Documents

417-R-RPT-0050, GOES-R Reliable Data Delivery Protocol, January 2008.

- AIA/NAS ANA0156: Metric Design Parameters for Male Threaded Fasteners, 1 January 1982.
- ANSI/IEST/ISO 14644-1, Cleanrooms and Associated Controlled Environments, 1999.
- CCSDS 133.0-B-1, Space Packet Protocol, Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems (CCSDS) Blue Book, September 2003.
- CCSDS 301.0-B-3, *Time Code Formats, Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems* (CCSDS) Blue Book, January 2002.
- ECSS-E-ST-50-12C, SpaceWire Links, Nodes, Routers and Networks, European Cooperation for Space Standardization (ECSS), July 2008.
- ECSS-E-ST-50-51C, SpaceWire Protocol Identification, European Cooperation for Space Standardization (ECSS), February 2010.
- ECSS-E-ST-50-53C, SpaceWire CCSDS Packet Transfer Protocol, European Cooperation for Space Standardization (ECSS), February 2010.
- EIA-RS-422-A, Electronic Industries Association Standard Electrical Characteristics of Balanced Voltage Interface Circuits, January 1978.
- SpaceWire CCSDS Unsegmented Code Transfer Protocol, proposed by Aeroflex at the 15th SpaceWire Working Group Meeting, October 2010.¹

3.0 CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS

3.1 Low Earth Orbit (LEO)

Reserved for Future Use

¹ All CCSDS Standards are freely available for download at the CCSDS website: <u>http://public.ccsds.org/publications</u>. All ECSS SpaceWire standards and the GOES-R Reliable Data Delivery Protocol are available for download at the ESA SpaceWire website: <u>http://spacewire.esa.int/content/Standard.</u>

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 14 of 114	

4.0 LEVEL 1 DESIGN GUIDELINES

The Common Instrument Interface has seven Level 1 guidelines as shown in Table 4-1. These Level 1 guidelines are the highest guidelines in the hierarchy, and the rest of the lower-level guidelines depend on these.

Function	Guideline
Priority	The instrument should be classified as a hosted payload.
Mass	The mass of the instrument should be less than or equal to 100 kg.
Power	The orbital average power required by the instrument should be less
	than or equal to 100 W.
Data Rate	The instrument data rate should be less than or equal to 10 Mbps.
Electrical	The instrument should be electrically grounded to a single point on the
Ground	spacecraft.
Thermal	The instrument should be thermally isolated from the spacecraft, such
	that the conductive heat transfer is less than 4W, and the radiative
	heat transfer is less than 3W.
Risk	The instrument should be designed based upon a mission risk
Classification	classification of Class C in accordance with NPR 8705.4

Table 4-1: Top (Level	1) CII Guidelines
-----------------------	-------------------

4.1 Supporting Analysis for Level 1 Design Guidelines

In order to provide Level 1 guidelines for future hosted payload instruments, we have examined the NASA Instrument Cost Model (NICM) remote sensing database to identify instrument characteristic parameters. The database has information on 102 different instruments that launched before 2009 from all four divisions of the Science Mission Directorate (SMD), as depicted in the Table 4-2. There are two significant characteristics of the data set that limit its statistical power to draw conclusions about Earth Science instruments. The first is the small sample size of Earth Science instruments (n=28). The second is that since more than half of the NICM instruments are Planetary, which tend to be smaller overall, the data are skewed. Nonetheless, analyzing the entire 102-instrument set provides some useful insight.

 Table 4-2: Distribution of NICM Instruments Among Science Mission Directorate

 Divisions

SMD Division	Directed	Competed	Non-NASA	Total
Earth	18	5	5	28
Planetary	35	18	1	54
Heliophysics	5	3	1	9
Astrophysics	10	1	0	11
Total	68	27	7	102

In analyzing the data, one may easily conclude that the development cost of an instrument is a function of multiple parameters such as: mass, power, data rate, year built, SMD division and acquisition strategy. With further analysis, one will realize that these parameters are not independent of each other and are implicitly functions of mass. For example, Planetary Science

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
		Page 15 of 114	

instruments tend to be smaller than Earth Science instruments, and competed instruments tend to be smaller than their directed counterparts. As technology improves with time, the instruments get smaller and more capable. With this information, we have plotted the instrument cost as a function of mass as shown in Figure 4-1.



Figure 4-1: Instrument Mass vs. Development Cost

In further examination of the data, specifically the Earth Science instruments that are outside the ellipse in Figure 4-1, one realizes that they were primary instruments that the mission were built around, for example, the Aura mission with the MLS and TES instruments. Given that this document deals with instruments that are *classified as hosted payloads* without knowledge of what mission or spacecraft they will be paired with, the CII WG *allocates 100 kg for the Level 1 mass guideline*. Therefore, every effort should be made to keep the mass to less than 100 kg to increase the probability of matching up with an HPO.

Figure 4-2 shows the relationship between power and mass. The power consumed by an instrument is also approximately linearly correlated to the mass of the instrument. On this basis, *we allocate 100 W for the Level 1 power guideline* for a 100 kg instrument.





As stated earlier, instruments over time have become smaller and more capable. Specifically, in Earth Science instruments this translates into generating more and more data. Figure 4-3 shows the data rates for all SMD instruments. This graph indicates that the data rate has increased by about an order of magnitude over two decades. Based upon this observation *we set the Level 1 data rate guideline at 10 Mbps*. It is clear that some instruments will generate more than 10 Mbps. This implies that the instruments should have the capability of on-board data analysis and or data compression or the capability of fractional time data collection. As with all guidelines contained within this document, once the instrument is paired with an HPO, the agreement between the two will supersede these guidelines.



Figure 4-3: Trend of Mean Instrument Data Rates

Categorization of the instruments as hosted payloads implies that these instruments have a mission risk level of C as defined in NPR 8705.4. This in turn defines the 2 year operational life and software classification.

4.2 NICM Cumulative Distribution Analysis

Following up on the techniques in section 4.1, analyzing the distributions of various NICM database parameters using histograms and cumulative distribution functions allows instrument developers to understand how their own instruments relate to historical instruments.

Figure 4-4 plots the number of NICM database instrument that fall within mass bins from 0 to 450 kg. Specifically, bin 10 counts the instruments with masses from 0 to less than 10 kg [0, 10); bin 20 counts the instruments with masses 10 kg or greater up to 20 kg [10, 20), *etc*.





Figure 4-4: NICM Sample Instrument Mass Histogram

While a histogram is useful to visualize the overall distribution of mass within the NICM, a cumulative distribution plot helps one better understand how a particular mass value compares to the rest of the sample. Figure 4-5 shows the same mass parameter data plotted as a cumulative distribution. For a given instrument mass along the logarithmic *x*-axis, the value along the *y*-axis shows the fraction of the sample that exceeds that mass. As an example, in the NICM database, 74% of the instruments exceeded 10 kg. Said from the perspective of an instrument developer, a developer who delivered a 10 kg instrument would have found that it "fit" within 74% of the mass envelopes of the sample. Figure 4-5 also shows the masses of a few notable Earth Science instruments as vertical dashed lines to put them in perspective of the data set:

- 1) Thermal Infrared Sensor (TIRS): purple
- 2) Clouds and Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES): green
- 3) Total and Spectral Solar Irradiance Sensor (TSIS): light blue
- 4) CALIPSO Wide Field Camera (WFC): orange





Figure 4-5: NICM Sample Mass Cumulative Plot

Readers should understand that these data are not representative of future HPO's, but only serve to provide a sense of relative standing for various instrument parameters. Figure 4-6 and Figure 4-7 show power and peak data rate, respectively.



Figure 4-6: NICM Sample Power Cumulative Plot





Figure 4-7: NICM Sample Data Rate Cumulative Plot

5.0 INSTRUMENT MODES

5.1 Mode Guidelines

5.1.1 <u>Basic Modes</u>

Instruments should support five basic modes of operation: OFF, INITIALIZATION, OPERATION, SAFE, and SURVIVAL (see Figure 5-1). Within the OPERATION mode, instruments may define additional sub-modes specific to their operation (e.g. STANDBY, DIAGNOSTIC, MEASUREMENT, etc.).



Common Instrument Interface Project		
Document Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 21 of 114

Figure 5-1: Instrument Mode Transitions

5.1.2 <u>OFF Mode</u>

The Instrument and the survival heaters are unpowered in the OFF mode.

5.1.2.1 *OFF Mode Power Draw*

The Instrument should draw no operational power while in OFF mode.

5.1.2.2 Instrument Susceptibility to Unanticipated Power Loss

The Instrument should be able to withstand the sudden and immediate removal of operational power by the Spacecraft at any time and in any instrument mode. This refers specifically to the sudden removal of operational power without the Instrument first going through an orderly shutdown sequence.

5.1.3 <u>SURVIVAL Mode</u>

The Instrument is unpowered and the survival heaters are powered-on in the SURVIVAL mode.

5.1.3.1 Spacecraft Verification of Instrument Survival Power

The Spacecraft should verify Instrument survival power is switched on during SURVIVAL mode.

5.1.3.2 Post-Launch Instrument Survival Circuit Initiation

The Spacecraft should enable power to the Instrument survival heater circuit(s) within 60 seconds after spacecraft separation from the launch vehicle, unless precluded by Spacecraft survival. The amount of time defined from spacecraft separation to enabling of the instrument survival heater circuit should be reviewed and revised as necessary after pairing with the host mission CONOPS, spacecraft and launch vehicle.

5.1.3.3 Instrument Susceptibility to Unanticipated Transition to SURVIVAL Mode

The Instrument should be able to withstand the sudden and immediate transition to instrument SURVIVAL mode by the Spacecraft at any time and in any Instrument mode. This refers specifically to the sudden removal of operational power without the Instrument first going through an orderly shutdown sequence and the sudden activation of the survival heater power circuit(s).

5.1.4 INITIALIZATION Mode

When first powered-on, the Instrument enters INITIALIZATION mode and conducts all internal operations necessary in order to eventually transition to OPERATION (or SAFE) mode.

5.1.4.1 *Power Application*

The Instrument should be in INITIALIZATION mode upon application of electrical power.

5.1.4.2 *Thermal Conditioning*

When in INITIALIZATION mode, the Instrument should conduct Instrument component warm-up or cool-down to operating temperatures.

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Degument Net CII CI 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 22 of 114

5.1.4.3 *Command and Telemetry*

When in INITIALIZATION mode, the command and telemetry functions of the Instrument should be powered up first.

5.1.4.4 *Health and Status Telemetry*

When in INITIALIZATION mode, the Instrument should send the Spacecraft health and status telemetry.

5.1.5 <u>OPERATION Mode</u>

The Instrument OPERATION mode covers all nominal Instrument operations and science observations.

5.1.5.1 Science Observations and Data Collection

The Instrument should have one OPERATION mode for science observations and data collection. Within the OPERATION mode, an instrument may define additional sub-modes specific to their operation (*e.g.* STANDBY, DIAGNOSTIC, MEASUREMENT, etc.).

5.1.5.2 Data Transmission

When in OPERATION mode, the Instrument should be fully functional and capable of providing all health and status and science data originating within the instrument to the Spacecraft and ground operations team.

5.1.5.3 *Resources*

When in OPERATION mode, the Instrument should have all allocated Spacecraft resources available to it.

5.1.6 <u>SAFE Mode</u>

The Instrument SAFE mode is a combined Instrument hardware and software configuration meant to protect the Instrument from possible internal or external harm while making minimal use of Spacecraft resources (e.g. power).

5.1.6.1 Data Collection and Transmission

When in SAFE mode, the Instrument should limit data collection and transmission to health and status information only.

5.1.6.2 *Notification*

The Instrument should notify the Spacecraft when it has completed a transition to SAFE mode.

5.2 Mode Transitions

5.2.1 Impacts to other instrument and spacecraft bus

The Instrument should transition from its current mode to any other mode without harming itself, other instruments, or Spacecraft bus.

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Decument Net CIL CI 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 23 of 114

5.2.2 Preferred Mode Transitions

The Instrument should follow the mode transitions depicted in Figure 5-1. The preferred transition to OFF mode is through SAFE mode. All other transitions to OFF are to be exercised in emergency situations only.

5.2.3 <u>SURVIVAL Mode Transitions</u>

5.2.3.1 Trigger

The Spacecraft should transition the Instrument to SURVIVAL mode in the event of a severe Spacecraft emergency.

5.2.3.2 Instrument Operational Power

The Spacecraft should remove Instrument operational power during transition to SURVIVAL mode.

5.2.3.3 Instrument Notification

Transition to SURVIVAL mode should not require notification or commands be sent to the Instrument.

5.2.4 INITIALIZATION Mode Transitions

5.2.4.1 *Transition from OFF Mode*

The Instrument should transition from OFF mode to INITIALIZATION mode before entering either OPERATION or SAFE modes.

5.2.4.2 *Exiting initialization Mode*

When in INITIALIZATION mode, the Instrument should remain in INITIALIZATION mode until a valid command is received from the Spacecraft or ground operations team to transition to OPERATION (or SAFE) mode.

5.2.5 <u>SAFE Mode Transitions</u>

5.2.5.1 *Command Trigger*

The Instrument should transition to SAFE mode upon receipt of a command from the Spacecraft or ground operations team.

5.2.5.2 *Missing Time Message Trigger*

The Instrument should transition to SAFE mode upon the detection of 10 consecutive missing time messages.

5.2.5.3 On-Orbit Anomaly Trigger

The Instrument should transition to SAFE mode autonomously upon any instance of an Instrument-detected on-orbit anomaly, where failure to take prompt corrective action could result in damage to the Instrument or Spacecraft.

5.2.5.4 Orderly Transition

The Instrument should conduct all transitions to SAFE mode in an orderly fashion.

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 24 of 114

5.2.5.5 Duration of SAFE Mode Transition

The Instrument should complete SAFE mode configuration within 10 seconds after SAFE mode transition is initiated.

5.2.5.6 Instrument Inhibition of SAFE Mode Transition

The Instrument should not inhibit any SAFE mode transition, whether by command from the Spacecraft or ground operations team, detection of internal Instrument anomalies, or lack of time messages from the Spacecraft.

5.2.5.7 Deliberate Transition from SAFE Mode

When in SAFE mode, the instrument should not autonomously transition out of SAFE mode, unless it receives a mode transition command from the Spacecraft or ground operations team.

5.2.6 **OPERATION Mode Transitions**

5.2.6.1 *Trigger*

The Instrument should enter OPERATION mode only upon reception of a valid OPERATION mode (or sub-mode) command from the Spacecraft or ground operations team.

5.2.6.2 Maintenance of OPERATION Mode

When in OPERATION mode, the Instrument should remain in the OPERATION mode until a valid command is received from the Spacecraft or ground operations team to place the Instrument into another mode, or until an autonomous transition to SAFE mode is required due to internal Instrument anomalies or lack of time messages from the Spacecraft.

6.0 DATA INTERFACE GUIDELINES

6.1 Introduction

This section provides key data interface guidelines. For more detailed recommendations and best practices see Section 16.1: Data Interface Reference Material / Best Practices.

6.1.1 <u>Goals</u>

The CII Data Interface meets several design goals:

- 1) Low-power data transmission
- 2) High data rate transmission with scalable capacity
- 3) Lightweight messaging protocol
- 4) Leverage existing standards and practices as much as practical
- 5) Minimally intrusive to spacecraft provider and instrument designer

The use of SpaceWire allows the CII Data Interface to meet goals (1) and (2). SpaceWire offers higher data rates at much lower power consumption than common aerospace alternatives such as MIL-STD-1553 bus or RS-422 serial. While goal (3) is subjective, the CII Data Interface defines only five basic message types to communicate spacecraft status (ephemeris and time), instrument commands, their acknowledgement, engineering telemetry, and science data. Goal

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Document Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 25 of 114

(4) is set forth to avoid reinventing the proverbial wheel. The protocol itself uses or builds upon existing SpaceWire and packet communication standards, including the industry standard Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems (CCSDS) for packet sequencing and control. Goals (1)-(4) taken together lead to Goal (5) and help minimize the implementation and integration impact on both the spacecraft provider and instrument designer.

6.1.2 <u>Assumptions</u>

The CII Data Interface guidelines assume the Instrument has some reasonable autonomous capabilities. In particular, the Instrument includes an onboard processor and its own capabilities for packet processing, telemetry monitoring, command and data handling, stored command sequences, (science) data storage, data compression, and data playback. Further, when necessary, the Instrument is operated by a ground team with the spacecraft acting largely as a passive relay of instrument commands and engineering and science data.

Autonomous instruments and passive relay spacecraft require far fewer changes to spacecraft flight and ground software, which helps maximize the number of HPO's that can be realized.

6.1.3 Drivers

The CII Data Interface guidelines recommend SpaceWire to achieve a low-power-consumption, high-data-rate interface with a scalable capacity in the range of 2–400 Mbps. SpaceWire systems have achieved industry acceptance for LEO spacecraft.

The use of CCSDS packets for data communication is common practice across aerospace flight and ground data systems. CII Data Interface messages communicate standard information about Spacecraft and Instrument status, time, telemetry, and science data in a well-accepted fashion (*e.g.* CRCs for error detection, command acknowledgements to assist in fault detection, SAFE mode for contingency situations). These factors can help reduce the overall costs and technical challenges of integrating the CII data interface guidelines into existing mission operating systems.

6.1.4 <u>Conventions</u>

To remain consistent with the CCSDS specifications referenced throughout the data portions of this specification, conventions for data packet diagrams, byte orderings, and byte numbering follow those established in Section 1.6.3 of the Space Packet Protocol Blue Book (CCSDS 133.0-B-1). The CCSDS standards use the term "octet" to refer to an 8-bit word while the SpaceWire standards use the term "byte" to refer to the same quantity. This document uses the term "byte" to refer to a grouping of 8 bits.

All binary numbers are prefixed with "0b". All hexadecimal numbers are prefixed with "0x".

Instrument modes are rendered in SMALL CAPS. For more information on CII instrument modes see Section 5.0: Instrument Modes.

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 26 of 114

6.2 Data Interface

6.2.1 <u>Data Bus</u>

The Instrument should adopt a common instrument-to-spacecraft data interface (e.g. MIL-STD-1553B, RS-422, or SpaceWire) for commanding, telemetry, and science data transmission.

Rationale: MIL-STD-1553B, RS-422, and SpaceWire are the most common LEO data interfaces.

Considerations: Common spacecraft command and data buses include MIL-STD-1553B, RS-422, and SpaceWire. MIL-STD-1553B typically offers a total data rate less than 1 Mbps shared among all devices on the data bus (*e.g.* primary spacecraft and instruments). Based on feedback from commercial spacecraft vendors, hosted payloads can expect a data rate of only kilobits per second or even bits per second in the best case. RS-422 data rates range from 100 Kbps to a maximum of 10 Mbps in the (theoretical) best case. SpaceWire provides high data-rate asynchronous serial communications in the range of 2–400 Mbps. SpaceWire power consumption and heat dissipation is significantly lower than either MIL-STD-1553B or RS-422.

The space community has been and deployed SpaceWire worldwide. ESA, JAXA, and NASA missions using SpaceWire include: BepiColombo Mercury Planetary Orbiter (MPO) and Mercury Magnetospheric Orbiter (MMO), Gaia, James Webb Space Telescope (JWST), Herschel, Corot, Gravity field and steady-state Ocean Circulation Explorer (GOCE), Rosetta, Mars Express, Non-thermal Energy eXploration Telescope (NeXT), Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter (LRO), and Swift. Several commercial vendors offer support, engineering test equipment, and flight-qualified SpaceWire hardware and software.

For detailed information on the data standards described, see Section 2.0: Reference Documents.

6.2.2 Data Bus Redundancy (optional)

The Instrument may employ two or four data links for communication with the Spacecraft in a simple parallel or cross-strapped configuration.

6.2.2.1 *Active Links*

The Instrument should only activate one link at any given time.

6.2.2.2 Secondary Links

The Instrument should use secondary link(s) in the event that the primary active link becomes inoperative or the Instrument swaps to second string components.

Rationale: Hosted payload instruments are expected to fall into NASA risk classifications C where reduced mission assurance standards are permitted. Furthermore, instrument providers will likely *not* be able to afford data link-redundancy and all of its associated hardware and software complexity. Data bus redundancy is therefore purely optional. Single-string instrument providers are strongly encouraged to minimize risk using other measures (e.g. design, analysis, parts selection).

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Degument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 27 of 114

6.2.3 <u>Packet Data</u>

Data transmitted over the Instrument-to-Spacecraft data interface should be encoded as discrete digital packet data. For more detailed information and recommended best practices, see Section 16.1.6: CII Messages, Packet Formats, and Protocol.

Rationale: Best practice.

6.2.4 <u>Packet Data Control</u>

Data transmitted over the Instrument-to-Spacecraft data interface should adopt CCSDS primary and secondary headers [CCSDS 133.0-B-1] to communicate basic packet control and time information.

Rationale: CCSDS headers are the established industry standard for space packet communication. The CCSDS primary header provides basic packet control data (e.g. packet sequence count, packet length, virtual channels, *etc.*). The CCSDS secondary header provides time information.

6.3 Spacecraft Status

6.3.1 Spacecraft Time and Ephemeris

The Instrument should receive time and ephemeris information from the spacecraft over the Instrument-to-Spacecraft data interface at regular intervals, no less than once per second. The precise resolution and specific period of such information will be negotiated between Spacecraft and Instrument providers.

Rationale: Instruments require time and position information for nominal operations and to coordinate and correlate science observations.

6.4 Command and Telemetry

6.4.1 <u>Command Verification</u>

The Instrument should reject any command that does not pass command verification or is otherwise deemed invalid.

Rationale: Command verification is a best practice. It allows the Spacecraft and ground operations team to devise and operate fault detection, isolation, and recovery (FDIR) procedures.

6.4.2 <u>Command Acknowledgement</u>

The Instrument should explicitly acknowledge the receipt of and indicate the execution status of all commands.

Rationale: Explicit commanding and acknowledgement is best practice. It allows the Spacecraft and ground operations team to devise and operate FDIR procedures.

6.4.3 <u>Command Dictionary</u>

A command dictionary should document all Instrument commands, the format and detail of which will be negotiated with the Spacecraft Provider.

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
		Page 28 of 114

6.4.3.1 *Command (SAFE Mode)*

The Instrument should enter SAFE mode when it receives an Enter SAFE Mode command from the Spacecraft or ground operations team.

6.4.3.2 *Command (SAFE Mode) Priority*

The Instrument should immediately process the Enter SAFE Mode command message ahead of any queued, but not yet processed, commands.

Rationale: Transition to SAFE mode is a high priority event. For the Instrument's, and possibly Spacecraft's, own health and safety, SAFE mode entry should be immediately accommodated.

6.4.3.3 *Command (Data Flow Control)*

Instrument providers should define commands to disable, enable, and resume the transmission of Instrument telemetry and Instrument science data.

Rationale: Data flow control is best practice. It allows the Spacecraft and ground operations team to devise and operate FDIR procedures.

6.4.4 <u>Telemetry Dictionary</u>

A telemetry dictionary should document all Instrument telemetry, the format and detail of which will be negotiated with the Spacecraft Provider.

6.5 Data Management

6.5.1 <u>Onboard Science Data Storage</u>

The Instrument should be responsible for its own science data onboard storage capabilities.

6.5.2 <u>Onboard Science Data Compression</u>

The Instrument should be responsible for its own science data onboard compression capabilities.

6.5.3 <u>Science Data Playback</u>

The Instrument should be responsible for its own science data playback capabilities.

6.5.4 <u>Science Data Playback Coordination</u>

The Instrument should coordinate science data playback with the spacecraft operations team.

Rationale: Buffering all data on the Instrument imposes no excess storage requirements on the Spacecraft's capacity to accommodate the Instrument and its data. A spacecraft need only enough buffer capacity to relay Instrument telemetry and science data transmission. As a result, far fewer modifications are required to Spacecraft flight software and operations procedures.

6.6 Flight Software

6.6.1 Flight Software Update

Instrument control flight software should be updatable on orbit through ground command.

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 29 of 114

Rationale: On-orbit flight software updates are a best practice that facilitates improvements and/or workarounds deemed necessary through operational experience.

6.6.2 Flight Software Update (Partial)

Individual memory addresses of instrument control software should be updatable on orbit through ground command.

Rationale: On-orbit flight software updates are a best practice that facilitates improvements and/or workarounds deemed necessary through operational experience.

7.0 ELECTRICAL POWER GUIDELINES

7.1 Introduction

The following electrical power guidelines define a common basis for the provision of electrical power by spacecraft hosts to potential hosted payload Earth science instruments. This is necessary to progress both the design of any instrument prior to the selection of a hosted payload opportunity and launch vehicle and to progress the design of the host payload accommodations. The major sources from which these guidelines were developed are the *General Interface Requirements Document (GIRD) for EOS Common Spacecraft/Instruments, Electrical Grounding Architecture for Unmanned Spacecraft,* (NASA-HDBK-4001), and *Electrical Bonding for Launch Vehicles, Spacecraft, Payloads and Flight Equipment* (NASA-STD-4003).

7.1.1 <u>Goals</u>

The goal of this section is to specify the characteristics, connections, and control of the Spacecraft power provided to each Instrument as well as the guidelines that each Instrument should meet at this interface. This section applies equally to the Power Buses and the Survival Heater Power Buses. Section 16.2 of this document details "best practices" to be implemented for the achievement of the noted goals

7.1.2 <u>Assumptions</u>

These electrical power guidelines assume the following:

- 1) The Spacecraft Electrical Power Subsystem (EPS) is unregulated (sun regulated) and provides power at a nominal voltage of +28 volts direct current (VDC)
- 2) The Instrument EPS employs selective redundancy as part of risk classification C.

7.1.3 <u>Drivers</u>

The guidelines define a 50 W increment, stepped power provision architecture. This incremental provision "right sizes" the amount of power draw for any particular instrument and drives the implementation of a modular instrument electrical power subsystem. Selective redundancy of power provision also drives the implementation of a modular instrument electrical power subsystem. The use of space flight qualified D connectors for power connections drives the use of a low cost and readily available connector.

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Deter 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 30 of 114

7.2 Electrical Interface

All guidelines in this section should be met at the electrical interface.

7.2.1 <u>Electrical Interfaces</u>

The electrical interfaces (see Figure 7-1) should include the following:

- 1) Operational Power Interface
- 2) Survival Heater Power Interface
- 3) Grounding Interface



Figure 7-1: Spacecraft-Instrument Electrical Interface

7.3 **Power Specifications**

This section specifies the characteristics, connections, and control of the Spacecraft power provided to each Instrument as well as the requirements that each Instrument must meet at this interface. This section applies equally to the Power Buses and the Survival Heater Power Buses.

7.3.1 <u>Instrument Power Harness</u>

Instrument power harnesses should be appropriately sized to support the peak allocated power levels and both spacecraft and Instrument fusing.

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Degument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 31 of 114

7.3.2 Orbital Average Power Consumption

Orbital average power (OAP) is the total power consumed averaged over 2 or more orbits.

7.3.3 <u>Peak Power Consumption</u>

Peak power is the maximum power consumed averaged over any 10 ms period.

7.3.4 <u>Allocation of Instrument Power</u>

Each Instrument mode should be allocated peak not to exceed (NTE) and average NTE power shown in Table 7-1.

Rationale: The Level 1 guideline defines power allocation for the OPERATION mode. The assumption that the instrument requires 100% of the power required in the OPERATION mode defines the power allocation for the ACTIVATION mode. The assumption that the instrument requires 50% of the power required in the OPERATION mode defines the power allocation for the SAFE mode. The assumption that the instrument only requires survival heater power defines the power allocation for the SURVIVAL mode.

Mode	Peak (W)	Average (W)
Off	0	0
SURVIVAL	40	20
ACTIVATION	200	100
SAFE	100	50
O PERATION	200	100

 Table 7-1: Instrument Power Allocation

7.3.5 <u>Voltage</u>

7.3.5.1 Primary Instrument Voltage

The Spacecraft power bus should provide voltage within the range of 28 ± 6 VDC, including ripple and normal transients as defined below, and power distribution losses due to switching, fusing, harness and connectors.

7.3.5.2 Unannounced Removal of Power

Unannounced removal of power should not cause damage or degraded Instrument performance.

7.3.5.3 *Reversal of Power*

Reversal of power (positive) and ground (negative) should not cause damage or degraded Instrument performance.

7.3.6 <u>Power-Up and Power-Down</u>

The Instrument should be designed for nominal and anomalous power-up and power-down sequences where the bus voltage change from +28 to 0 VDC or from 0 to +28 VDC will be a step function.

Common Instrument Interface Project				
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
		Page 32 of 114		

7.3.7 <u>Overcurrent Protection</u>

The Spacecraft should protect of the Spacecraft power system by providing overcurrent protection devices on each Instrument power connection.

7.3.7.1 *Overcurrent Protection Device Type*

The type of overcurrent protection device(s), such as latching current limiters, fuses, or other, should be consistent for each Instrument.

7.3.7.2 Abnormal Operation Steady-State Voltage Limits

The Instrument should survive under abnormal conditions, steady-state voltages (V) in the range of 0 to 50 VDC, without permanent degradation.

7.3.7.3 *Power Source Impedance*

The Spacecraft power source impedance should be as indicated in Table 7-2.

Maximum Source Impedance (Ω)	Frequency
0.1	1 Hz to 1 kHz
1.0	1 kHz to 20 kHz
2.0	20 kHz to 100 kHz
20.0	100 kHz to 10 MHz

Table 7-2: Power Source Impedance

7.3.8 <u>Current Transients</u>

7.3.8.1 Instrument Turn-on Transients Limit

For turn-on, the transient current on any Power Feed bus should not exceed 100 percent (that is, two times the steady state current) of the maximum steady-state current and should not be greater than 50 ms surge duration. There is no turn-on transient restriction on the Survival Heater Bus.

7.3.9 <u>Power Connections</u>

The Spacecraft should provide the Instrument separate connections to each redundant power source, as illustrated in Figure 7-1. These connections are designated as Power Bus #1, Power Bus #2, and the Survival Heater Power Bus. All buses have prime and redundant sides designated as Power Bus A and Power Bus B. Each power bus connection/return will supply a maximum of 50 W OAP (100 W instantaneous power) with selective redundancy.

7.4 Grounds, Returns and References

To ensure that the Instrument grounding configuration will be compatible with the spacecraft, the Instrument grounds should be wired as described in the following sections. Instrument grounding should appear in the Electrical Interface drawing.

7.4.1 <u>Power Leads and Returns</u>

Each Instrument primary power service should have a distinct and isolated return.

Common Instrument Interface Project				
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
		Page 33 of 114		

7.4.2 <u>Power Reference</u>

The Signal Reference Plane is the Spacecraft conducting plate or other structure to which all ground planes are connected. The Primary Power Reference is the reference point for Spacecraft voltage control. The Spacecraft should connect all power returns to the Signal Reference Plane only at the Spacecraft Primary Power Reference Point.

7.4.3 <u>Grounding, DC Isolation</u>

In each unit before connection to the harness, a DC isolation of at least 1 $M\Omega$ should exist from each line of primary power to each line of secondary power, and between all grounds which are not common.

7.4.4 <u>Grounding, AC Isolation</u>

AC isolation should be at least 0.5 μ F.

7.4.5 <u>Grounding, DC Resistance</u>

Grounds which are common should have a measured DC resistance of less than 10 m Ω between connector pins.

7.4.6 <u>Shield Grounding</u>

Multi-point grounding should be provided as necessary for shielding connections. The reference for shields should be chassis ground.

7.4.7 <u>Component Bounding</u>

The Instrument component housings supporting or containing electrical/electronic assemblies should be electrically bonded to chassis to preclude electrostatic discharge from causing inadvertent initiation of deployments or damage to sensitive electronics.

7.4.7.1 Component Ground Connection

The Spacecraft Contractor should electrically bond the chassis ground terminal of each Instrument component to the Instrument ground plane.

7.4.7.2 *Component Bonding Straps*

Where direct bonding is not possible and/or movable metal-to-metal joints are present, bonding straps should be used.

7.4.7.3 Component Bonding Strap Design Guidance

Bonding straps should be designed and applied in accordance with NASA-STD-4003.

7.4.8 <u>Ground Loops</u>

The instrument electrical power subsystem grounding architectures should be designed to preclude ground loops.

7.5 Harness

7.5.1 Spacecraft to Instrument Harness Provider

The Spacecraft Provider should furnish all Spacecraft and Spacecraft-to-Instrument harnessing.

Common Instrument Interface Project				
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
		Page 34 of 114		

7.5.2 Intra-Instrument Harness Provider

The Instrument Provider should furnish all Instrument harnessing.

7.5.3 <u>Harness Hardware Documentation</u>

The appropriate Electrical Interface Control Drawing should document all harnesses, connectors, ground straps, and associated service loops.

7.5.4 Harness Wiring Requirements

All requirements for harness construction, pin-to-pin wiring, cable type, etc. should be documented in the appropriate Electrical Interface Control Drawing.

7.5.5 <u>Power Harnessing</u>

7.5.5.1 *Power Routing and Shielding*

The delivery of Instrument power by the Spacecraft should be via twisted conductor (pair, quad, etc.) cables with both power and return leads enclosed by an electrical overshield.

7.5.5.2 *Power Shield Bonding*

The Instrument should provide the capability to form a low impedance electrical path (a bond) between the power conductor overshield and the Instrument chassis via a 360° connector back shell at the Instrument primary power input.

8.0 MECHANICAL GUIDELINES

8.1 Introduction

8.1.1 <u>Goals</u>

The key goals of mechanical guidelines are:

- 1) To allow interfacing of a hosted payload instrument to be minimally intrusive to spacecraft provider.
- 2) Once paired for a mission, a Mechanical Interface Control Document (MICD) should establish implementation details between Spacecraft and Instrument Providers.

8.1.2 <u>Assumptions</u>

The CII mechanical guidelines make several assumptions:

- 1) The Instrument is a self-contained assembly with on board electronics and thermal control.
- 2) The MICD will define instrument location with fields-of-view (FOV) that equal or exceed the Instrument science and radiator requirements at the pairing of Spacecraft and Instrument.

8.1.3 Drivers

- 1) Parametric studies of a variety of similar class instruments drive mass guidelines
- 2) Engineering analysis determines guideline payload volume based on mass guidelines and comparisons to spacecraft envelopes in the NASA RSDO catalog.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
		Page 35 of 114	

8.2 Instrument Envelopes

8.2.1 <u>Dimensions</u>

Instrument components in the launch and on-orbit configurations should be contained within the detailed instrument envelope of $0.4m \times 0.5m \times 0.85m$ (H×W×L) for a 100 kg (max) instrument or $0.3m \times 0.4m \times 0.7m$ for a 50 kg instrument.





Figure 8-1: Spatial Envelopes for 50 kg and 100 kg Instruments with Instrument Payload Coordinate Frame
Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 37 of 114	

8.3 Instrument Mass

8.3.1 <u>Mass Limit</u>

The Instrument mass should be less than or equal to 100 kg.

8.3.2 <u>Mass Centering</u>

For a 50 kg Instrument, the center of mass should be less than 4 cm radial distance from the $Z_{instrument}$ axis and less than 15 cm above (+ $Z_{instrument}$) the Instrument mounting plane.

For a 100 kg Instrument, the center of mass should be less than 5 cm radial distance from the $Z_{instrument}$ axis and less than 20 cm above (+ $Z_{instrument}$) the Instrument mounting plane.

8.3.3 Inertia

The MICD should document moments and products of inertia.

8.4 Instrument Mounting

8.4.1 <u>Mounting</u>

The Instrument should be hard mounted to the spacecraft unless the Instrument Provider demonstrates that kinematic mounts are required.

8.4.2 <u>Functionality in 1 g Environment</u>

The Instrument should be fully functional in any 1 g orientation.

8.5 Mechanisms

8.5.1 <u>Caging During Launch</u>

Instrument mechanisms that require restraint during launch should be caged during launch without requiring power to maintain the caged condition.

8.6 Structural Characteristics

8.6.1 Minimum Fixed-Base Frequency - mass of less than 100 kg

The Instrument component with a mass of less than 100 kg, configured for launch, should have a fixed-base frequency greater than 35 Hz. Fixed-based is defined as follows: Each mounting point should be constrained in those degrees of freedom which are rigidly attached to the Spacecraft, and should be free in those degrees of freedom for which kinematic mounts or flexures provide flexibility.

9.0 THERMAL GUIDELINES

9.1 Introduction

9.1.1 <u>Goals</u>

The key goal of thermal guidelines is:

1) To minimize thermal perturbations on the spacecraft system or let the spacecraft perturb the instrument design

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 38 of 114	

9.1.2 <u>Assumptions</u>

The thermal guidelines assume:

- 1) The Instrument is nadir-pointed in order to have a clear view of the earth and/or earth's limb.
- 2) The instrument is thermally isolated from the spacecraft and the neighboring instruments.
- 3) The instrument volume is capped at $0.4m \times 0.5m \times 0.85$ m.
- 4) The mass is capped at 100kg.

9.1.3 <u>Thermal Interface Drivers</u>

A key thermal interface driver is:

1) The required instrument radiator size can vary by a factor of four depending on the location of the instrument on the spacecraft

9.2 Thermal Design at the Mechanical Interface

The Instrument thermal design should be decoupled from the Spacecraft at the mechanical interface spacecraft and neighboring payloads as much as possible.

The conductive heat transfer at the instrument-spacecraft mechanical interface should be less than 15 W/m^2 or 4 W.

The net radiative heat transfer between the instrument and spacecraft should be less than 3 W.

The MICD should document the isolation implementation.

9.3 Thermal Design

9.3.1 <u>Responsibility</u>

The Instrument Provider should be responsible for the thermal design of the instrument.

9.3.2 Instrument Placement on Spacecraft

The Instrument Designer should expect the instrument to be mounted to any of the six sides of the spacecraft (nadir, zenith, East, West, North, and South).

9.4 **Temperature Maintenance**

9.4.1 <u>Responsibility</u>

The Instrument Provider should be responsible for maintaining all instrument temperature requirements.

9.4.2 <u>Allowable Temperature Range</u>

The spacecraft temperature range at the mounting interface should be: $[0^{\circ}C, +30^{\circ}C]$ operating and $[-20^{\circ}C, +50^{\circ}C]$ non-operating.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 39 of 114	

9.5 Thermal Hardware

9.5.1 <u>Thermal Control Hardware Responsibility</u>

The Instrument Provider should provide and install all Instrument thermal control hardware including blankets, temperature sensors, louvers, heat pipes, radiators, and coatings.

9.6 Thermal Design Verification and Validation

The Instrument thermal control system's ability to maintain hardware within allowable temperature limits should be verified and validated empirically by thermal balance testing and if necessary, by analysis for conditions that cannot be ground tested.

10.0 ENVIRONMENTAL GUIDELINES

10.1 Introduction

The following environmental guidelines are established to provide potential Earth Science instrument and HPO spacecraft providers a common design and analysis basis in order to progress the design of instrument prior to selection of a host spacecraft and launch vehicle for same. The major sources for these are the *General Environmental Verification Standard (GEVS)* for GSFC Flight Programs and Projects, the General Interface Requirements Document (GIRD) for EOS Common Spacecraft/Instruments) and the latest revision of MIL-STD-461, Requirements for the Control of Electromagnetic Interference Characteristics of Subsystems and Equipment.

10.2 Goals

The goal of this section is provide sufficient definition of the environments to which an Earth science instrument will be subjected during integration, testing, launch, and operation to allow a successful progression of the instrument design from conception until the pairing of the Instrument with an HPO spacecraft and launch vehicle.

10.3 Drivers

The following drive these environmental guidelines:

- 1) The Instrument will be paired with a defined Spacecraft no later than the Instrument Preliminary Design Review (PDR)
- 2) The Instrument/Spacecraft will be paired with a defined launch vehicle no later than PDR.

10.4 Launch Environment

The Instrument should be designed for the following launch environments.

10.4.1 Launch Pressure Profile

The Instrument should withstand an atmospheric pressure decay rate less than or equal to 4 kPa/s (30 Torr/s).

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CII CI 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 40 of 114	

10.4.2 <u>Explosive Atmosphere</u>

The instrument should operate in the presence of flammable vapors without initiating an explosion or fire.

10.4.3 <u>Atmospheric Pressure Range</u>

The instrument should be designed for a range of atmospheric pressures from 101 kPa (~760 Torr) at sea level to 1.3×10^{-15} kPa (10^{-14} Torr) in space. This guideline defines the level of vacuum that an instrument will encounter in an orbital environment. For verification testing, the vacuum test level should be $\le 1 \times 10^{-5}$ Torr

10.5 Dynamics Environment

Sinusoidal vibration design guidelines cover low-frequency (typically 5-50 Hz) launch vehicleinduced transient loading. The random vibration design guidelines are derived from: (a) launch vehicle-induced acoustic excitations during liftoff, transonic and max-q events; and (b) mechanically transmitted vibration from the engines during upper stage burns. Acoustic design guidelines are based on maximum internal payload fairing sound pressure level spectra. Pyroshock design guidelines are intended to represent the structurally transmitted transients from explosive devices used to achieve various separations, including spacecraft separation from the upper stage motor.

10.5.1 Quasi-Static Acceleration

The Instrument should survive and fulfill its mission after the application of a launch vehicleinduced quasi-static acceleration environment represented by the Mass Acceleration Curve (MAC) defined in Figure 10-1. The "Mass" is the mass of the entire instrument or any component of the instrument.



Figure 10-1: Mass Acceleration Curve

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Deter 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document no: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 41 of 114	

The MAC applies to worst single direction, which might not be aligned with coordinate directions, to produce the greatest load component (axial load, bending moment, reaction component, stress level, etc.) being investigated.

10.5.2 <u>Sinusoidal Vibration</u>

The Instrument should survive and fulfill its mission after application of launch vehicle-induced transient environments represented by the sinusoidal vibration environment defined in Table 10-1.

Frequency (Hz)	Displacement or Acceleration Amplitudes	
	Acceptance	Qualification
5 – 18	8 mm (0.31 inches) DA	12 mm (0.48 inches) DA
18 – 50	5.6 g peak	8 g peak
One sweep from 5 to 50 Hz at a rate	of 4 octaves/minute except from 25 to 3	5 Hz at a rate of 1.5 octaves/minute

Table 10-1: Sinusoidal Vibration Environment

Double Amplitude (DA) is the overall value from the highest maximum to the lowest minimum of a waveform in one period and is equivalent to twice the peak amplitude.

10.5.3 Random Vibration

The instrument should survive and fulfill its mission after application of launch vehicle-induced transient environments represented by the random vibration environment defined in Table 10-2. All flight article test durations are to be 1 minute per axis. Non-flight article qualification test durations are to be 2 minutes per axis.

Zone/Assembly	Frequency (Hz)	Protoflight / Qualification	Acceptance
Instrument	20	0.026 g²/Hz	0.013 g²/Hz
	20 – 50	+6 dB/octave	+6 dB/octave
	50 - 800	0.16 g²/Hz	0.08 g²/Hz
	800 - 2000	-6 dB/octave	-6 dB/octave
	2000	0.026 g²/Hz	0.013 g²/Hz
	Overall	14.1 g _{rms}	10.0 g _{rms}

Table 10-2: Random Vibration Environment

Table 10-2 represents the random vibration environment for instruments with mass less than or equal to 25 kg. Instruments with mass greater than 25 kg may apply the following random vibration environment reductions:

1) The acceleration spectral density (ASD) level may be reduced for components weighing more than 25 kg according to:

 $ASD_{new} = ASD_{original} * (25/M)$ where M = instrument mass in kg

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 42 of 114	

2) The slope is to be maintained at $\pm 6 \text{ dB/octave}$ for instruments with mass less than or equal to 65 kg. For instruments greater than 65 kg, the slope should be adjusted to maintain an ASD of 0.01 g²/Hz at 20 Hz and at 2000 Hz for qualification testing and an ASD of 0.005 g²/Hz at 20 Hz and at 2000 Hz for acceptance testing.

10.5.4 <u>Acoustic Noise</u>

The instrument should survive and fulfill its mission after application of launch vehicle-induced transient environments represented by the acoustic noise spectra defined in Table 10-3. The acoustic noise design requirement for both the instrument and its assemblies is a reverberant random-incidence acoustic field specified in 1/3 octave bands. The design/qualification exposure time is 2 minutes; PF/FA exposure time is one minute.

1/3 Octave Band Center Frequency (Hz)	Design/Qual/PF Sound Pressure Levels	Flight Acceptance Sound Pressure Levels
	(dB re 20 µPa)	(dB re 20 µPa)
31.5	122.5	119.5
40	125.5	122.5
50	129.5	126.5
63	131.0	128.0
80	131.5	128.5
100	132.5	129.5
125	133.0	130.0
160	133.0	130.0
200	122.5	120.5
250	133.5	130.5
215	134.5	131.5
315	155.5	152.5
400	134.5	131.5
500	131.0 -	128.0
630	128.0 1	125.0
\$00	125.0	122.0
1000	123.0	120.0
1250	121.0	118.0
1600	120.0	117.0
2000	110.5	116.5
2000	119.0	116.0
2300	119.0	110.0
3150	118.0	115.0
4000	116.5	113.5
5000	114.0	111.0
6300	110.0	107.0
\$000	106.0	103.0
10000	103.0	100.0
Overall	143.8	140.8

Table 10-3: Acoustic Noise Environment

10.5.5 <u>Mechanical Shock</u>

The instrument should survive and fulfill its mission after application of spacecraft to launch vehicle separation or other shock transient accelerations represented by Figure 10-2 using Q = 10. The time-history of the Shock Response Spectrum (SRS) should be oscillatory and decay to within 10% of the peak value within 20 ms. Spacecraft to launch vehicle separation or other shock transients are defined at the instrument/spacecraft interface and the instrument should be

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 43 of 114	

designed to survive two shocks. The guideline assumes that shock transients are generated externally to the instrument and attenuated to the level defined at the notated interface.



Figure 10-2: Mechanical Shock Environment

10.5.6 Orbital Acceleration

The Instrument should withstand a maximum acceleration of 0.015 g on orbit without permanent degradation of performance.

10.6 Thermal Environment

10.6.1 <u>Ground Handling Temperatures</u>

The Instrument should maintain assembly allowable flight temperatures within the non-operating temperature range in accordance with Section 9.4.2 during ground handling up to and including pre-launch fairing enclosure.

10.6.2 Ascent Temperatures

The Instrument should maintain assembly allowable flight temperatures within the non-operating temperature range in accordance with Section 9.4.2 during ascent.

10.6.3 <u>Corona</u>

The instrument should prevent corona or other forms of electrical breakdown at pressures less than 1.33×10^{-6} kPa (10^{-5} Torr). RF/high voltage circuitry is subject to multipacting/arcing damage at critical pressure.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 44 of 114	

10.6.4 <u>Thermal Radiation</u>

The Instrument should maintain assembly allowable flight temperatures within the non-operating temperature range in accordance with Section 9.4.2 during the mission when exposed to the worst case expected thermal radiation environments defined in Table 10-4.

Table 10-4: Thermal Radiation Environment

Mission Phase	Solar Flux	Earth Albedo	Earth IR (Long Wave)
Earth Orbit	1290 to 1420 W/m ²	0.275 to 0.375	222 to 243 W/m ²

10.6.5 <u>Thermal Radiation</u>

The thermal control design should control the instrument temperatures within the Protoflight levels when exposed to the applicable thermal radiation levels in Section 10.6.4 with a 20% test margin applied to the high levels.

10.6.6 <u>Electronics Operational Temperatures</u>

All instrument electronic assemblies should be designed to operate within specification at the greater of the launch vehicle payload fairing temperature range (typically -20° C to $+75^{\circ}$ C) or the allowable flight temperature plus 25°C.

10.7 Electromagnetic Interference & Compatibility Environment

The Electromagnetic Interference and Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMI/EMC) environments are based upon the latest revision to MIL-STD-461. More conventional, and typical of heritage, EMI/EMC environmental requirements are based upon revision C of the noted specification with testing requirements defined by and in MIL-STD-462. Either approach is acceptable. Section 16.5 of these guidelines presents details of the latest revision of the cited standard. Tailoring of the cited standard for the host spacecraft and launch vehicle will be required upon pairing.

10.8 Radiation Environment

A Radiation Design Margin (RDM) for a given electronic part (with respect to a given radiation environment) is defined as the ratio of that part's capability (with respect to that environment and its circuit application) to the environment level at the part's location. The hardware should be designed so as to provide all parts an RDM of two (2) or greater.

The following guidelines are based upon a 98 degree inclination, 705 km altitude circular orbit.

10.8.1 <u>Total Ionizing Dose</u>

The instrument should operate within specification during and after exposure to the Total Ionizing Dose (TID) radiation environment of Table 10-4 over a two year mission life. The TID of Table 10-4 contains no margin or uncertainty factors.

Common Instrument Interface Project					
Desumant Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline			
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 45 of 114			

Shield Thickness	Electron	Bremsstrahlung	Trapped Solar Flare Proton Proton 4 Events		Total
mils	rads-si	rads-si	rads-si rads-si		rads-si
1	1.29E+06	6.76E+02	1.59E+04	1.40E+03	1.31E+06
3	7.56E+05	4.92E+02	8.72E+03	1.42E+03	7.67E+05
4	4.88E+05	3.83E+02	6.12E+03	1.43E+03	4.96E+05
6	3.38E+05	3.14E+02	4.60E+03	1.44E+03	3.45E+05
7	2.46E+05	2.65E+02	3.64E+03	1.46E+03	2.52E+05
9	1.87E+05	2.28E+02	3.07E+03	1.46E+03	1.92E+05
10	1.46E+05	1.99E+02	2.65E+03	1.48E+03	1.50E+05
12	1.16E+05	1.75E+02	2.35E+03	1.48E+03	1.20E+05
13	9.40E+04	1.56E+02	2.14E+03	1.49E+03	9.78E+04
15	7.64E+04	1.41E+02	1.96E+03	1.50E+03	8.00E+04
29	2.11E+04	7.40E+01	1.17E+03	1.52E+03	2.39E+04
44	1.08E+04	5.28E+01	9.04E+02	1.32E+03	1.31E+04
58	6.72E+03	4.16E+01	7.80E+02	1.15E+03	8.69E+03
73	4.52E+03	3.44E+01	6.92E+02	1.02E+03	6.27E+03
87	3.13E+03	2.93E+01	6.28E+02	9.24E+02	4.71E+03
117	1.55E+03	2.28E+01	5.48E+02	7.72E+02	2.89E+03
146	8.00E+02	1.87E+01	5.00E+02	6.60E+02	1.98E+03
182	3.45E+02	1.54E+01	4.60E+02	5.60E+02	1.38E+03
219	1.40E+02	1.32E+01	4.24E+02	4.76E+02	1.05E+03
255	5.36E+01	1.16E+01	4.00E+02	4.20E+02	8.85E+02
292	2.05E+01	1.04E+01	3.79E+02	3.70E+02	7.79E+02
365	2.03E+00	8.80E+00	3.43E+02	2.80E+02	6.33E+02
437	0.00E+00	7.68E+00	3.15E+02	2.34E+02	5.57E+02
510	0.00E+00	6.88E+00	2.90E+02	1.88E+02	4.86E+02
583	0.00E+00	6.24E+00	2.70E+02	1.55E+02	4.32E+02
656	0.00E+00	5.76E+00	2.54E+02	1.34E+02	3.93E+02
729	0.00E+00	5.32E+00	2.38E+02	1.13E+02	3.56E+02
875	0.00E+00	4.64E+00	2.12E+02	8.28E+01	3.00E+02
1167	0.00E+00	3.66E+00	1.75E+02	4.80E+01	2.27E+02
1458	0.00E+00	2.99E+00	1.48E+02	2.90E+01	1.80E+02

Table 10-4: Total Ionizing Dose Radiation Environment

The TID versus shielding thickness curve for an aluminum spherical shell shield exposed to these fluences is defined in the Figure 10-3.





Figure 10-3: TID versus Shielding Thickness

10.8.2 Cosmic Ray and High Energy Proton Environment

10.8.2.1 Displacement Damage Effects

Prediction of proton-induced displacement damage (also known as bulk damage) to sensitive electronic parts should be based on the differential proton fluences defined in Table 10-5 and Table 10-6 and the integral proton fluence defined in Figure 10-4 and Figure 10-5. An RDM of 2 should be applied to this fluence.

Common Instrument Interface Project					
Degument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline			
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 47 of 114			

Table 10-5: Total Differential Fluence of Trapped Protons and Galactic Cosmic Ray Protons

Surface Incident	Surface Incident	Emerging Energy	Particle	Aluminum Spheres		
Energy	Fluence					
(MeV)	(p/sqcm*MeV)	(MeV)	30 mils-TA (p/sqcm*Me V*5yrs)	150 mils-TA (p/sqcm*MeV *5yrs)	500 mils-TA (p/sqcm*MeV*5yrs)	100 mils-AL (p/sqcm*MeV*5yrs)
4.00E-02	1.41E+13	1.30E-01	-	2.07E+07	5.91E+06	1.12E+07
7.00E-02	1.10E+13	1.60E-01	8.20E+07	1.84E+07	5.27E+06	1.20E+07
1.00E-01	8.31E+12	2.00E-01	7.31E+07	1.64E+07	4.70E+06	1.29E+07
5.00E-01	6.11E+11	4.00E-01	5.17E+07	1.16E+07	3.33E+06	1.70E+07
1.00E+00	1.31E+10	5.00E-01	4.61E+07	1.04E+07	2.97E+06	1.93E+07
2.00E+00	3.36E+10	6.30E-01	4.23E+07	9.50E+06	2.72E+06	2.19E+07
3.00E+00	9.87E+09	7.90E-01	4.19E+07	9.41E+06	2.70E+06	2.49E+07
4.00E+00	8.10E+09	1.00E+00	4.35E+07	9.77E+06	2.80E+06	2.86E+07
5.00E+00	4.94E+09	2.00E+00	4.99E+07	1.28E+07	3.66E+06	4.53E+07
6.00E+00	3.12E+09	3.98E+00	7.39E+07	1.89E+07	5.41E+06	7.45E+07
8.00E+00	1.48E+09	5.01E+00	8.56E+07	2.19E+07	6.27E+06	8.35E+07
1.00E+01	1.06E+09	6.31E+00	8.56E+07	2.55E+07	7.30E+06	9.25E+07
2.00E+01	3.02E+08	7.94E+00	8.89E+07	2.99E+07	8.55E+06	1.10E+08
3.00E+01	1.66E+08	1.00E+01	1.01E+08	3.51E+07	1.00E+07	9.91E+07
4.00E+01	1.34E+08	2.00E+01	1.05E+08	4.58E+07	1.66E+07	1.08E+08
5.00E+01	1.21E+08	3.98E+01	1.03E+08	6.08E+07	2.12E+07	1.07E+08
6.00E+01	1.16E+08	5.01E+01	1.03E+08	5.99E+07	2.37E+07	1.04E+08
8.00E+01	8.79E+07	6.31E+01	8.67E+07	5.97E+07	2.54E+07	8.96E+07
1.00E+02	7.25E+07	7.94E+01	7.18E+07	5.11E+07	2.37E+07	7.35E+07
2.00E+02	2.44E+07	1.00E+02	5.66E+07	4.10E+07	2.33E+07	5.78E+07
3.00E+02	8.82E+06	2.00E+02	1.91E+07	1.54E+07	1.05E+07	1.92E+07
4.00E+02	3.39E+06	3.98E+02	2.82E+06	2.07E+06	1.49E+06	2.64E+06
5.00E+02	1.40E+06	5.01E+02	8.43E+05	3.94E+05	2.65E+05	6.37E+05
6.00E+02	1.58E+05	6.31E+02	1.51E+05	1.47E+05	1.43E+05	1.50E+05
8.00E+02	1.37E+05	7.94E+02	1.34E+05	1.28E+05	1.23E+05	1.31E+05
1.00E+03	1.14E+05	1.00E+03	1.10E+05	1.03E+05	9.76E+04	1.07E+05
2.00E+03	5.15E+04	2.00E+03	4.97E+04	4.59E+04	4.27E+04	4.72E+04
3.00E+03	2.81E+04	3.98E+03	1.68E+04	1.52E+04	1.40E+04	1.59E+04
4.00E+03	1.77E+04	5.01E+03	1.18E+04	1.09E+04	1.01E+04	1.12E+04
5.00E+03	1.23E+04	6.31E+03	8.03E+03	7.40E+03	6.86E+03	7.62E+03
8.00E+03	5.84E+03	7.94E+03	5.60E+03	5.13E+03	4.58E+03	5.33E+03
1.00E+04	4.09E+03	1.00E+4	1.07E-11	-	-	-

Common Instrument Interface Project					
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline			
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 48 of 114			

Table 10-6: Total Differential Fluence of Trapped Protons, Galactic Cosmic Ray Protons, and Solar Flare Protons

Surface Incident	Surface Incident	Emerging Energy	Particles E	Aluminum Spheres		
(MeV)	(p/sqcm*MeV)	(MeV)	30 mils-TA (p/sqcm*MeV *5yrs)	150 mils-TA (p/sqcm*MeV *5yrs)	500 mils-TA (p/sqcm*MeV *5yrs)	100 mils-AL (p/sqcm*MeV*5yrs)
4.00E-02 7.00E-02 1.00E-01 5.00E-01 1.00E+00 2.00E+00 3.00E+00 6.00E+00 6.00E+00 1.00E+01 2.00E+01 3.00E+01 4.00E+01 5.00E+01	1.33E+13 1.03E+13 7.64E+12 5.05E+11 8.22E+10 1.15E+10 5.64E+09 3.80E+09 2.54E+09 1.64E+09 1.28E+09 1.05E+09 5.19E+08 3.47E+08 2.62E+08	1.30E-01 1.60E-01 2.00E-01 4.00E-01 5.00E-01 6.30E-01 1.00E+00 2.00E+00 3.98E+00 5.01E+00 6.31E+00 7.94E+00 1.00E+01 2.00E+01 3.98E+01	1.49E+08 1.33E+08 9.39E+07 8.37E+07 7.68E+07 7.61E+07 7.90E+07 9.44E+07 1.40E+08 1.62E+08 1.65E+08 2.00E+08 2.12E+08 1.65E+08	2.83E+07 2.52E+07 1.59E+07 1.42E+07 1.30E+07 1.34E+07 1.34E+07 1.75E+07 2.59E+07 3.00E+07 3.49E+07 4.81E+07 5.98E+07 6.99E+07	5.11E+06 4.56E+06 2.87E+06 2.35E+06 2.35E+06 2.33E+06 2.42E+06 3.16E+06 4.68E+06 5.42E+06 6.31E+06 7.39E+06 8.68E+06 1.43E+07 1.78E+07	2.03E+07 2.17E+07 2.33E+07 3.09E+07 3.50E+07 3.98E+07 4.51E+07 5.20E+07 8.22E+07 1.35E+08 1.54E+08 1.54E+08 2.08E+08 1.94E+08 2.20E+08
5.00E+01 6.00E+01 8.00E+01 1.00E+02 2.00E+02 3.00E+02 4.00E+02 5.00E+02 8.00E+02 1.00E+03 2.00E+03 3.00E+03 5.00E+03 8.00E+03 1.00E+04	2.03E+08 1.61E+08 1.00E+08 6.80E+07 1.72E+07 5.97E+06 2.20E+06 8.51E+05 3.65E+04 3.83E+04 3.70E+04 2.57E+04 1.72E+04 1.22E+04 9.16E+03 4.93E+03 3.61E+03	3.98E+01 5.01E+01 6.31E+01 7.94E+01 1.00E+02 2.00E+02 3.98E+02 5.01E+02 6.31E+02 7.94E+02 1.00E+03 2.00E+03 3.98E+03 5.01E+03 6.31E+03 7.94E+03 1.00E+04	1.65E+08 1.41E+08 1.01E+08 7.15E+07 5.04E+07 1.34E+07 1.80E+06 4.30E+05 3.73E+04 3.82E+04 3.63E+04 2.52E+04 1.17E+04 8.85E+03 6.45E+03 4.76E+03 1.26E-11	6.99E+07 6.45E+07 5.80E+07 4.57E+07 3.43E+07 1.07E+07 1.30E+06 1.50E+05 3.77E+04 3.52E+04 2.39E+04 1.08E+04 8.28E+03 6.02E+03 4.41E+03	1.78E+07 1.96E+07 2.05E+07 1.84E+07 1.75E+07 7.19E+06 8.92E+05 8.60E+04 3.78E+04 3.78E+04 3.76E+04 3.44E+04 2.28E+04 1.02E+04 7.77E+03 5.65E+03 3.98E+03 -	1.76E+08 1.44E+08 1.07E+08 7.37E+07 5.16E+07 1.34E+07 1.68E+06 2.85E+05 3.76E+04 3.81E+04 3.59E+04 2.43E+04 1.12E+04 8.46E+03 6.17E+03 4.56E+03

Common Instrument Interface Project					
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline			
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 49 of 114			



EOS COMMON SPACECRAFT: 98 DEG, 705/705 KM, SPHERICAL TANTALUM AND ALUMINUM SHIELDS



Common Instrument Interface Project				
Desumant Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 50 of 114		



EOS COMMON SPACECRAFT: 98 DEG, 705/705 KM, SPHERICAL TANTALUM AND ALUMINUM SHIELDS

Figure 10-5: Total Differential Fluence of Trapped Protons, Galactic Cosmic Ray Protons, and Solar Flare Protons

10.8.2.2 Single Event Effects

The instrument should operate when exposed to Galactic Cosmic Ray and High Energy Protons.

Predictions of single events (*i.e.* single event latch-up, single event upset, and single event burnout) induced by galactic cosmic ray ions and high energy protons should be performed separately and the results combined.

The integral Galactic Cosmic Ray Linear Energy Transfer (LET) spectrum provided in Figure 10-6 and Table 10-7 should be used to predict ion-induced single events. The difference proton fluence in Figure 10-4 and Table 10-5, which consists of trapped protons and galactic cosmic ray

Common Instrument Interface Project					
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline			
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 51 of 114			

protons, should be used to predict proton-induced single events in the absence of solar flares. The difference proton fluence in Figure 10-5 and Table 10-6, which consists of trapped protons, galactic cosmic ray protons, and solar flare protons, should be used to predict proton-induced single events with solar flares.



Figure 10-6: Total Integral Galactic Cosmic Ray LET Spectrum

Common Instrument Interface Project					
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline			
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 52 of 114			

Table 10-7: Total Integral Galactic Cosmic Ray LET Spectrum

	INTEGRAL COSMIC RAY LET SPECTRA FOR ELEMENTS Z > 1					
	I=98 DI	EG, H=705/7	05 KM (SINGLY I	ONIZED WITH S	HADOW)	
		SOLID A	LUMINUM SPHE	RE SHIELDING		
	LE	Т			LET SPECTRA	
MEV/CM	MEV/*SOCM/MG	PC/CM	PC*SOCM/MG	0.03 inches	0.15 inches	0.50 inches
		10/0101		#/SQCM*DAY	#/SQCM*DAY	#/SQCM*DAY
1.00E+00	4.10E-04	4.55E-02	1.86E-05	1.39E+04	1.38E+04	1.38E+04
1.26E+00	5.16E-04	5.73E-02	2.35E-05	1.39E+04	1.38E+04	1.38E+04
1.58E+00	6.48E-04	7.18E-02	2.94E-05	1.39E+04	1.38E+04	1.38E+04
2.00E+00	8.20E-04	9.09E-02	3.73E-05	1.39E+04	1.38E+04	1.38E+04
3.98E+00	1.63E-03	1.81E-01	7.41E-05	1.44E+04	1.44E+04	1.44E+04
5.01E+00	2.05E-03	2.28E-01	9.33E-05	1.36E+04	1.36E+04	1.35E+04
6.31E+00	2.59E-03	2.87E-01	1.18E-04	1.47E+04	1.46E+04	1.45E+04
7.94E+00	3.25E-03	3.61E-01	1.48E-04	1.60E+04	1.60E+04	1.58E+04
1.00E+01	4.10E-03	4.55E-01	1.86E-04	1.70E+04	1.70E+04	1.67E+04
1.26E+01	5.16E-03	5.73E-01	2.35E-04	1.75E+04	1.75E+04	1.72E+04
1.58E+01	6.48E-03	7.18E-01	2.94E-04	1.43E+04	1.42E+04	1.40E+04
2.00E+01	8.20E-03	9.09E-01	3.73E-04	7.49E+03	7.38E+03	7.23E+03
3.98E+01	1.63E-02	1.81E+00	7.41E-04	2.69E+03	2.64E+03	2.55E+03
5.01E+01	2.05E-02	2.28E+00	9.33E-04	2.14E+03	2.10E+03	2.02E+03
6.31E+01	2.59E-02	2.87E+00	1.18E-03	1.81E+03	1.78E+03	1.69E+03
7.94E+01	3.25E-02	3.61E+00	1.48E-03	1.61E+03	1.57E+03	1.51E+03
1.00E+02	4.10E-02	4.55E+00	1.86E-03	1.44E+03	1.39E+03	1.34E+03
1.26E+02	5.16E-02	5.73E+00	2.35E-03	1.30E+03	1.28E+03	1.23E+03
1.58E+02	6.48E-02	7.18E+00	2.94E-03	1.05E+03	1.03E+03	9.82E+02
2.00E+02	8.20E-02	9.09E+00	3.73E-03	8.85E+02	8.63E+02	8.32E+02
3.98E+02	1.63E-01	1.81E+01	7.41E-03	4.06E+02	3.97E+02	3.78E+02
5.01E+02	2.05E-01	2.28E+01	9.33E-03	3.27E+02	3.21E+02	3.05E+02
6.31E+02	2.59E-01	2.87E+01	1.18E-02	2.47E+02	2.44E+02	2.31E+02
7.94E+02	3.25E-01	3.61E+01	1.48E-02	1.81E+02	1.78E+02	1.68E+02
1.00E+03	4.10E-01	4.55E+01	1.86E-02	1.32E+02	1.30E+02	1.22E+02
1.26E+03	5.16E-01	5.73E+01	2.35E-02	1.02E+02	1.00E+02	9.42E+01
1.58E+03	6.48E-01	7.18E+01	2.94E-02	8.14E+01	8.01E+01	7.55E+01
2.00E+03	8.20E-01	9.09E+01	3.73E-02	6.51E+01	6.41E+01	6.04E+01
3.98E+03	1.63E+00	1.81E+02	7.41E-02	1.11E+01	1.06E+01	9.50E+00
5.01E+03	2.05E+00	2.28E+02	9.33E-02	6.09E+00	5.84E+00	5.26E+00
6.31E+03	2.59E+00	2.87E+02	1.18E-01	3.49E+00	3.31E+00	3.02E+00
7.94E+03	3.25E+00	3.61E+02	1.48E-01	2.07E+00	1.94E+00	1.77E+00
1.00E+04	4.10E+00	4.55E+02	1.86E-01	1.24E+00	1.12E+00	1.03E+00
1.26E+04	5.16E+00	5.73E+02	2.35E-01	7.04E-01	6.38E-01	5.88E-01
1.58E+04	6.48E+00	7.18E+02	2.94E-01	4.12E-01	3.62E-01	3.39E-01
2.00E+04	8.20E+00	9.09E+02	3.73E-01	2.44E-01	2.07E-01	1.93E-01
3.98E+04	1.63E+01	1.81E+03	7.41E-01	3.84E-02	2.90E-02	2.67E-02
5.01E+04	2.05E+01	2.28E+03	9.33E-01	1.73E-02	1.27E-02	1.17E-02
6.31E+04	2.59E+01	2.87E+03	1.18E+00	4.48E-03	3.12E-03	2.83E-03
7.94E+04	3.25E+01	3.61E+03	1.48E+00	1.11E-05	1.22E-05	9.94E-06
1.00E+05	4.10F+01	4.55E+03	1.86F+00	4.44F-06	4.99E-06	3.98E-06
1.26E+05	5.16E+01	5.73E+03	2.35E+00	1.81E-06	2.06E-06	1.62E-06
1.58E+05	6.48E+01	7.18E+03	2.94E+00	5.51E-07	6.46E-07	4.91E-07
2.00E+05	8.20E+01	9.09E+03	3.73E+00	1.28E-07	1.49E-07	1.12E-07
1.00E+06	4.10E+02	4.55E+04	1.86E+01	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00

Common Instrument Interface Project					
Decument Net CII CI 0001	Effective Deter 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline			
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 53 of 114			

10.8.2.3 Solar Flare Events

The instrument should survive without permanent degradation or damage and meet all performance requirements when exposed to trapped proton ($E \ge 5$ MeV) flux of 6.4×10^3 particles/cm²/sec, trapped electron ($E \ge 0.5$ MeV) flux of 3.2×10^5 particles/cm²/sec, and solar flare events specified in Table 10-8. If necessary, external intervention may restore functionality after the solar flare event. The total event integral fluence is accumulated within a time interval of a few hours to two days.

Table 10-8: Solar F	lare Proton Peak Flu	xes and Associated	Total Event Integra	al Fluences

Energy (MeV)	Flux (Particles/cm ² sec)	Total Event Integral Fluence (Particles/cm ²)
>10	1.1×10 ⁶	3.4×10 ⁹
>30	2.6×10 ⁵	1.8×10 ⁹
>60	8.0×10 ⁴	6.4×10 ⁸
>100	-	1.5×10 ⁸

10.9 Electrostatic Discharge Environment

10.9.1 <u>External Potential Difference</u>

The voltage between any instrument surface area greater than 0.5 cm^2 and the instrument structure should be less than or equal to 200 V, when exposed to a 5 nA/cm² flux level of electrons with 20 keV energy.

10.9.2 <u>Electrostatic Discharge Susceptibility</u>

The instrument should not be susceptible to a 6 mJ arc discharge (13 kV from 70 pF capacitor or equivalent) applied at a distance of 25 cm from the exterior surface of the instrument.

10.9.3 Internal Charging

Metallic elements, including wires, unused conductors of cable, connectors, circuit board traces, and spot shields greater than 3 cm² in area or 25 cm in length should have a conductive path to ground of less than $10^8 \Omega$ when measured in air and less than $10^{12} \Omega$ when measured in vacuum. Flight hardware that is shielded from the external radiation environment by greater than 0.32 cm (125 mils) of aluminum (or equivalent) need not be grounded.

10.10 Solid Particles Environment

10.10.1 <u>Micrometeoroids</u>

Table 10-9 defines the design level fluence (nominal levels, no margin, and omnidirectional fluence) for the Instrument mission micrometeoroid environment (cumulative).

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Desument Net CII CI 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 54 of 114	

	Omnidirectional Fluence (particles/m ² of mass > M)		
Particle mass, M (g)	Micrometeoroids	Artificial Space Debris	
1.0×10 ⁻¹²	1.0×10 ⁴	2.3×10 ⁶	
1.0×10 ⁻¹⁰	1.9×10 ³	5.0×10 ⁴	
1.0×10 ⁻⁸	3.6×10 ²	1.1×10 ³	
1.0×10 ⁻⁶	1.4×10 ¹	2.3×10 ¹	
1.0×10⁻⁵	1.4	3.4	
1.0×10 ⁻⁴	9.9×10⁻²	5.0×10 ⁻¹	
1.0×10 ⁻³	5.7×10 ⁻³	7.3×10 ⁻²	
1.0×10 ⁻²	2.9×10 ⁻⁴	1.1×10 ⁻²	
1.0×10 ⁻¹	1.4×10⁻⁵	1.6×10 ⁻³	
1.0	6.7×10 ⁻⁸	2.7×10 ⁻⁴	
1.0×10 ¹	-	6.9×10 ⁻⁵	
1.0×10 ²	-	3.1×10⁻⁵	
Average Particle Density	2.5 g/cm ³	2.5 to 3.0 g/cm ³	
Mean Impact Velocity	19 km/s	7 to 10 km/s	

Table 10-9: Solid Particle Fluence

10.10.2 <u>Artificial Space Debris</u>

Table 10-9 defines the design level fluence (nominal levels, no margin, and omnidirectional fluence) for the Instrument mission artificial space debris environment (cumulative).

10.11 Atomic Oxygen Environment

The design level cumulative fluence for the Instrument mission atomic oxygen (AO) environment is 3.3×10^{20} AO/cm² for a 705 km circular polar orbit over two years.

11.0 SOFTWARE AND ELECTRONIC GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT GUIDELINES

11.1 Introduction

The CII WG recommends that all instrument flight software and ground software be developed according to NASA Class C software development requirements and guidelines. More specific software development and implementation details are outside the scope of the CII Guidelines. High-level instrument flight software behavior flows from the CII Instrument Modes and Data Interface guidelines.

11.2 Instrument Flight Software Guidelines

11.2.1 Flight Software Development

CII flight software should be developed according to all NASA Class C software development requirements and guidelines.

Rationale: Appendix E of the *NASA Software Engineering Requirements* (NPR 7150.2A) classifies CII software as Class C. NASA Class C software is any flight or ground software

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 55 of 114	

which contributes to mission objectives, but whose correct functioning is not essential to the accomplishment of primary mission objectives. In the case of CII, primary mission objectives are exclusively those of the host spacecraft providing the HPO.

11.3 Instrument Ground Support Equipment Software Guidelines

11.3.1 Ground Software Development

CII ground support software should be developed according to all NASA Class C software development requirements and guidelines.

Rationale: Appendix E of the NPR 7150.2A classifies CII software as Class C. NASA Class C software is any flight or ground software which contributes to mission objectives, but whose correct functioning is not essential to the accomplishment of primary mission objectives. In the case of CII, primary mission objectives are exclusively those of the host spacecraft providing the HPO.

11.4 Instrument GSE to Spacecraft I&T GSE Interface

12.0 CONTAMINATION

12.1 Introduction

12.1.1 <u>Goals</u>

The Contamination Control Guidelines are comprehensive for hosted payload instruments. Excessive contamination, whether molecular films or particulate matters, can degrade the performance of the system by compromising the function of contamination-sensitive components such as the optics, focal planes, thermal control surfaces, solar cells, *etc*.

12.1.2 <u>Assumptions</u>

Guidelines apply to the instrument through all phases of development, design, integration, and test, and through the flight mission.

- 1) Guidelines contain reporting criteria.
- 2) Documents assumptions on contamination control of the instrument during spacecraft integration and launch operations.

12.1.3 <u>Drivers</u>

The sources of contamination and the migration mechanisms vary across the hardware phases of the project. Manufacturing, integration, testing, and mission operations each present unique contamination environments and constraints. The allocation of a contamination threshold level for each hardware phase should reflect the most cost- and time-efficient way of mitigating the risk of contamination-induced degradation. Materials selection, cleaning procedures, controlled assembly environments, storage and handling methods, venting, purges, design configuration, contamination transport analysis, hardware bake-outs, on-orbit decontamination cycles, *etc.* are some of the methods used to mitigate the risk of contamination.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document No: CIL CI 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 56 of 114	

12.2 Contamination Control Guidelines

12.2.1 Documentation Guidelines

The Instrument Provider should submit the cleanliness guidelines for all sensitive instrument surfaces that are exposed during Spacecraft I&T and launch site processing and document them in the MICD.

12.2.2 <u>Verification of Cleanliness</u>

Prior to integration with the Spacecraft, the Instrument Provider should verify the cleanliness of instrument exterior surfaces by test.

12.3 Instrument Sources of Contamination

The Instrument Provider should identify all sources of contamination that can be emitted from the instrument and should document these in the MICD.

12.4 Instrument Venting

12.4.1 Instrument Venting Documentation

The MICD should define number, location, size, vent path, and operation time of vents.

12.4.2 Location of Vent Path

The Spacecraft Contractor should place the instrument such that the contamination products from the vents of hosted payload instrument do not directly impinge on the primary instrument's contamination-sensitive surface nor directly enter another instrument's aperture.

12.4.3 <u>Sealed Hardware</u>

Electro-explosive devices (EEDs), hot-wax switches, or other devices should be sealed devices which do not allow escape of the actuating materials.

12.5 Protective Covers

12.5.1 Covering of Sensitive Instrument Surfaces

Sensitive instrument surfaces should be covered during Spacecraft I&T, shipment, launch site processing, and launch.

12.5.2 <u>Responsibility for Covers</u>

The Instrument Provider should provide Instrument protective covers and specify procedures for their use. The Instrument Provider should specify in the MICD if and when protective covers (such as bags, draping materials, or hard covers) are required to keep the instrument clean during I&T.

12.6 Instrument Purge Requirements

The MICD should document instrument purge requirements, including type of purge gas, flow rate, gas purity specifications, filter pore size, type of desiccant (if any), and whether interruptions in the purge are tolerable.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 57 of 114	

12.7 Instrument Inspection and Cleaning During I&T

The MICD should define any required inspections or cleaning of the instrument during I&T. The Instrument Provider is responsible for cleaning the instrument.

12.8 Contamination Analysis Guidelines

The instrument contamination analysis should be based on the following:

- 1) The cleanliness of the external surfaces and surface molecular levels should adhere to MIL-STD-1246C.
- 2) Worst case on-orbit redistribution of particles for spacecraft and instrument external surfaces should not exceed Level 650 for velocity vector surfaces and Level 600 for all other surfaces.
- 3) The analysis of long-term degradation should consider orbital debris.

12.9 Spacecraft Contractor Supplied Analysis Inputs

The Spacecraft Contractor should provide the Instrument Provider with plume flow field analyses for all thrusters, including any launch vehicle stages which fire after the payload fairing is jettisoned. The flow field analysis results should include:

- 1) Identity and quantity of each chemical species emitted,
- 2) Density as a function of spatial position, including the "backflow region" at angles greater than 90 degrees from the plume centerline,
- 3) Velocity or flux as a function of spatial position, including the backflow region,
- 4) An equation or group of equations describing the plume.

12.10 Particulate and Molecular Cleanliness

12.10.1 <u>Cleanroom Specifications</u>

The instruments should be integrated with the Spacecraft in a Class 10,000 (ISO Class 5.5) cleanroom environment and maintained in that environment as much as possible during the integration and test flow.

12.10.2 <u>Cleanroom Performance Verification</u>

Air cleanliness, NVR, and particle fallout rates should be measured at regular intervals, satisfying, as a minimum, the requirements in ANSI/IEST/ISO 14644-1.

12.10.3 <u>Transitions between Cleanrooms</u>

Hardware manufactured in areas that do not meet Class 100,000 clean room (ANSI/IEST/ISO 14644-1) standards should undergo proper cleaning, including vacuum baking.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Degument Net CII CI 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 58 of 114	

12.11 GSE Cleanliness Guidelines

12.11.1 <u>GSE in Cleanroom Area</u>

Any GSE that accompanies the instrument into a cleanroom area should be cleaned and cleanroom-compatible.

12.11.2 <u>GSE in Vacuum Chamber</u>

Any GSE in the vacuum chamber during thermal vacuum testing should be cleaned and vacuum-compatible.

12.12 Wiring and MLI Cleanliness Guidelines

All wiring harnesses and thermal blankets should be baked out and screened for outgassing.

13.0 COORDINATE REFERENCE FRAMES

This section defines guidelines for establishing the coordinate reference frames for a spacecraft host and instrument payload in Low Earth Orbit (LEO).

13.1 Spacecraft Host Coordinate Reference Frame

The spacecraft host will define the location and orientation of this reference frame.

13.1.1 Spacecraft Host Coordinate Frame Location

The subject reference frame is typically defined with the origin located at the center of the separation plane between the spacecraft host and the launch vehicle. The subject reference frame "typical" location is depicted in Figure 13-1.



Figure 13-1: Spacecraft Coordinate Reference Frame Location & Orientation

13.1.2 Spacecraft Host Coordinate Frame Orientation

The orientation of this coordinate frame is typically defined with the $\vec{Z}_{spacecraft}$ axis extending from the origin along the axis pointed in the zenith (anti-nadir) direction with the spacecraft in the deployed orbital configuration, assuming that the spacecraft is inertially stabilized. The $\vec{Y}_{spacecraft}$ axis of the spacecraft is orthogonal to the orbital plane, and the $\vec{X}_{spacecraft}$ axis is the resultant of the normalized product $|\vec{Y}_{spacecraft} X \vec{Z}_{spacecraft}|$ oriented in the direction of the spacecraft velocity vector. The subject reference frame "typical" orientation is depicted in Figure 13-1 in the orbital configuration.

13.2 Instrument Payload Coordinate Reference Frame

The instrument payload defines the location and orientation of this reference frame relative to the instrument. The Spacecraft defines the location and orientation of the instrument payload coordinate reference frame relative to the Spacecraft Host Coordinate Reference Frame.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Degument Net CII CI 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 60 of 114	

13.1.2 Instrument Payload Coordinate Frame Location

The subject reference frame is defined with the origin located at the center of the interface plane between the instrument payload and the spacecraft host. The subject reference frame "typical" location is depicted in Figure 13-2.



Figure 13-2: Instrument Coordinate Reference Frame Location & Orientation

13.2.2 Instrument Payload Coordinate Frame Orientation

The orientation of this coordinate frame is defined with the $\vec{Z}_{Instrument}$ axis extending from the origin of the Instrument Payload Coordinate Frame along the axis orthogonal to the interface plane between the instrument and the spacecraft host in the direction away from the spacecraft host. The remaining Instrument Payload Coordinate Frame axes, the $\vec{X}_{Instrument}$ axis and the $\vec{Y}_{Instrument}$ axis, are located in the interface plane between the instrument payload and the spacecraft host and are typically oriented so that at least one of the axes is aligned with one of the Spacecraft Host Coordinate Frame axes. Such aligned orientations are preferred in order to simplify coordinate system transformations. The subject reference frame "typical" orientation is depicted in Figure 13-2.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 61 of 114	

13.3 Nominal Orbit

The CII guidelines assume a nominal LEO mission orbit. A particular orbital altitude, eccentricity, inclination and other parameters are not defined. LEO is defined to be between 350 kilometers and 2000 kilometers orbital altitude. The altitude lower bound is considered to be the beginning of the Earth's atmosphere and the limit at which an orbit may continue to be propagated with reasonable drag makeup requirements. The upper bound is defined by the beginning of the inner Van Allen radiation belt.

14.0 MODEL GUIDELINES AND SUBMITTAL DETAILS

14.1 Finite Element Model Submittal

This section defines the finite element model and model submittal guidelines.

14.1.1 <u>Model Representation</u>

Components which have fixed base frequencies greater than 100 Hz can be represented as rigid masses.

14.1.2 Finite Element Model (FEM) Guidelines

- 1) The FEM should utilize the NASTRAN format.
- 2) The model will be used for dynamic analysis rather than stress analysis and therefore should be as small as possible. Models should be 'full' models with no symmetry assumptions made to reduce model size. "Super elements" should not be used.
- 3) Model should adequately represent all dynamic modes up to 100 Hz when rigidly supported at the interface.
- 4) The local coordinate system should be rectangular with the same orientation as the Spacecraft coordinate system. Coordinate cards should be provided to establish the local system. The origin of the local coordinate system should be documented in the ICD.
- 5) All constraints internal to instruments and output should be in the local coordinate system. There should be no constraints external to the model upon submittal.
- 6) The bulk data deck should not contain BAROR, GRDSET, PARAM K6ROT or PARAM AUTOSPC NASTRAN cards.
- 7) The specification of vector components for element coordinate system definition should be used in lieu of referenced grid (i.e., CBAR, CBEAM).
- 8) The Système international d'unités (SI) is the preferred system of units.
- 9) An instrument-unique numbering system for all NASTRAN model identification numbers should be used (for grids, coordinates systems, elements, property and material IDs, as well as constraint and loading IDs). This number should be of the form XXX001 through XXX999, where XXX is the instrument identification number provided by the Spacecraft Integrator. All instrument components which are physically separate units should be modeled separately with unique identification numbers within the unique XXX instrument number allocation. No ID number duplications should be allowed.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 62 of 114	

10) The NASTRAN model should be capable of representing different dynamic response characteristics (if any) resulting from planned configuration changes between launch and deployment.

14.1.3 Deliverable NASTRAN Model Data

The following data and information should be supplied in fulfillment of the guideline of a deliverable NASTRAN math model:

1) NASTRAN structural math model of the instrument which is able to:

a) Define the loads at the instrument/support structure interface due to gravity loads and structural element temperature changes.

b) Provide sufficient detail to accurately represent the dynamic behavior of the instrument up to 100 Hz. In general, no more degrees-of-freedom than necessary should be used. Models which employ static or dynamic reduction techniques should refer to the validation guidelines in Section 14.1.4.

- 2) The method of data transfer is negotiable with NASA.
- 3) Description of the model, any special modeling features used, and rationale for the modeling methodology.
- 4) List of all material properties used in the model.
- 5) The mass and stiffness calculations used in generating the input data for the model and a description of how the masses were distributed throughout the structure.
- 6) A description of the dynamic ASET degrees-of-freedom.
- Detailed plots of the NASTRAN model clearly showing all grid points, element numbers, and element types. Hardcopy plots showing element connectivity should also be supplied. Identify clearly all Spacecraft attachment points with respective degrees-offreedom.
- 8) Mechanical and functional description of all mechanisms in the instrument, whether they are modeled or not.
- 9) Mode plots of the first three significant modes.
- 10) The following are to be delivered with the test verified model: Design drawings of the instrument to manufacturing standards. Copy of the stress analysis (input and output) of all loading combinations performed on the detailed model, with cross references to the NASTRAN model and drawing numbers. All fracture critical parts must be clearly labeled as such.

14.1.4 Deliverable Model Validity Checks

The following computer runs and data checks should be made and delivered to NASA to confirm the mathematical validity of the model:

1) Static analysis for unit gravity loading in each of the three axis directions. Reaction forces given by this analysis should equal the weight of the structure as given by the grid-

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
		Page 63 of 114	

point weight generator (using PARAM WTMASS card). No large displacements or forces should be generated.

- 2) Eigenvalue analysis for modes up to 100 Hz with the instrument constrained at the instrument/support structure interface. Only those degrees-of-freedom actually used to attach the instrument to the support should be constrained in this analysis.
- 3) Eigenvalue analysis for the modes up to 100 Hz of the instrument in the free-free (unconstrained) condition. This analysis should be made both with and without the SUPORT NASTRAN bulk-data card. The rigid body modes from the analysis without the SUPORT card should yield frequencies less than 0.01 Hz.
- 4) Static analysis with unit-enforced displacements in all six degrees-of-freedom at one grid point. This analysis should yield equivalent unit values of displacement for all grid points whose displacement coordinate system is defined as being parallel to the input coordinate system of the referenced point. In addition, no element forces greater than 0.05 kg or moments greater than 0.1 N-M should be observed. A grid-point force balance should reveal no significant forces on any point in the model.
- 5) Grid-point weight generator (using PARAM WTMASS card) should yield correct weight, center-of-mass location, and moments of inertia.
- 6) Use of case-control command "SPCFORCES=ALL" should reveal no constraint forces at points other than legitimate boundary condition constraint points.
- 7) "Epsilon Sub E" error check for each static subcase should be less than 10^{-11} .
- 8) Static analysis with a unit temperature increase from ambient. The model should contain a stress-free boundary condition, (*i.e.*, kinematic mount or single constrained grid point), with all the thermal expansion coefficients changed to simulate identical materials. The grid-point force balance should reveal no force greater than 0.005 kg. Care should be taken to ensure that rigid elements do not constrain thermal expansion.
- 9) A rigid-body or stiffness-equilibrium check should be performed. Terms should be less than 10^{-5} .

10) Modal results for reduced models should agree with full-up model as follows:

- a) Fundamental frequency should agree to within 3%.
- b) All remaining modes to 100 Hz should have frequencies to within 5%.
- c) Cross-orthogonality check with full-up model must have diagonal terms greater than .95 and off-diagonal terms less than .05.

14.1.5 NASTRAN Model Verification

If either the analysis or a frequency verification test shows any significant mode below 70 Hz, these modes should be verified through a modal survey.

The analytical frequency predictions should agree with dynamic test data to within 5 percent for the fundamental mode and to within 10 percent for all remaining significant modes to 70 Hz.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
		Page 64 of 114	

A cross orthogonality check between test and analytical mode shapes should have diagonal terms above 0.9 and off diagonal terms below 0.1 for all significant modes up to 50 Hz.

14.2 Thermal Math Model Submittal

14.2.1 <u>Thermal Math Model</u>

The Instrument Provider should supply the Spacecraft Provider with a reduced node geometric and thermal math model in compliance to the following:

14.2.1.1 Model Format

Model format should be in Thermal Desktop version 5.2 or later or NX Space Systems Thermal version 7.x or later.

14.2.1.2 *Units of Measure* Model units should be SI.

14.2.1.3 *Radiating Surface Element Limit* Radiating surface elements should be limited to less than 200.

14.2.1.4 *Thermal Node Limit*

Thermal nodes should be limited to less than 500.

14.2.1.5 Model Verification

The Geometric Math Model and Thermal Math Model should be documented with a benchmark case in which the Spacecraft Developer may use to verify the model run.

14.2.1.6 Steady-State and Transient Analysis

The model should be capable of steady-state and transient analysis.

14.2.2 <u>Reduced Node Thermal Model Documentation</u>

The Instrument Provider should supply the Spacecraft Developer with documentation describing the reduced node thermal model. The documentation should contain the following:

14.2.2.1 Node(s) Location

The node(s) location at which each temperature limit applies.

14.2.2.2 Electrical Heat Dissipation

A listing of electrical heat dissipation and the node(s) where applied.

14.2.2.3 Active Thermal Control

A listing of active thermal control, type of control (*e.g.*, proportional heater), and the node(s) where applied.

14.2.2.4 Boundary Notes

A listing and description of any boundary nodes used in the model.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
		Page 65 of 114	

14.2.2.5 Environmental Heating

A description of the environmental heating (Beta angle, heliocentric distance, planetary albedo, planetary emissive power, *etc.*).

14.2.2.6 User Generated Logic

A description of any user generated software logic.

14.3 Mechanical Computer Aided Design (CAD) Model Submittal

14.3.1 <u>Mechanical CAD Model</u>

14.3.1.1 Model Format

The Instrument Provider should provide Mechanical CAD models that have been created in a file format compatible with the spacecraft vendor, *i.e.* in the same program and version of the spacecraft vendor or in a neutral file format such as IGES or STEP.

14.3.1.2 Unit of Measure

Model units should be in SI.

14.4 Mass Model

14.4.1 Instrument Mass Model

The Instrument Provider should provide all physical mass models required for spacecraft mechanical testing.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
		Page 66 of 114	

15.0 ACRONYMS AND SCIENTIFIC UNITS

15.1 Acronyms

AC	Alternating Current
AFT	Allowable Flight Temperatures
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
AO	Atomic Oxygen
APID	Application Process IDentifer
ARC	Ames Research Center
ASD	Acceleration Spectral Density
AWG	American Wire Gauge
C&DH	Command and Data Handling
CAD	Computer Aided Design
CCSDS	Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems
CDR	Critical Design Review
CERES	Clouds and Earth's Radiant Energy System
CII	Common Instrument Interface
CRC	Cyclic Redundancy Check
CUC	CCSDS Unsegmented (Time) Code
DA	Double Amplitude
DC	Direct Current
ECSS	European Cooperation for Space Standardization
EED	Electro-explosive Device
EEP	Error End of Packet
EHA	Engineering, Health, and Accountability
EIA	Electronic Industries Association
EMC	Electromagnetic Compatibility
EMI	Electromagnetic Interference
EOL	End of Life
EOP	End Of Packet
EOS	Earth Observing System
EPS	Electrical Power Subsystem
ESA	European Space Agency
ESD	Earth Science Division
ESSP	Earth System Science Pathfinder
EVI	Earth Venture Instrument
ExP	Either EEP (Error End-of-Packet) or EOP (End-Of-Packet)
FDIR	Fault Detection, Isolation, and Recovery
FEM	Finite Element Model
FGS	Fine Guidance Sensor (JWST instrument)
FOV	Field of View
G	Gauss
GEO	Geostationary Earth Orbit
GEVS	General Environmental Verification Standard

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline Page 67 of 114	
GIRD General Instrument	Requirements Document		

GIRD	General Interface Requirements Document
GOCE	Gravity field and steady-state Ocean Circulation Explorer
GOES-R	Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite – R
	Series
GSE	Ground Support Equipment
GSFC	Goddard Space Flight Center
HxWxL	Height × Width ×Length
HPO	Hosted Payload Opportunity
1&T	Integration and Test
IAC	Interface Alignment Cube
	Interface Control Document
חחו	Instrument Description Document
	Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency
IDI	let Propulsion Laboratory
	James Webb Space Telescope
	Kov Docision Point
	Landov Research Contor
	Low Earth Orbit
	Low Edition Orbit
	Linear Energy Transfer
	Launch Venicle
LVLH	Local Vertical/Local Horizontal
MA	Milliamp
MAC	Mass Acceleration Curve
MeV	Mega electron Volts
MHz	Megahertz
MICD	Mechanical Interface Control Document
MIL-SID	Military Standard
MIRI	Mid-Infrared Instrument (JWST instrument)
MLI	Multi-Layer Insulation
mm	Millimeter
MMO	Mercury Magnetospheric Orbiter (BepiColombo)
MPO	Mercury Planetary Orbiter (BepiColombo)
MSFC	Marshall Space Flight Center
N/A	Not Applicable
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NDE	Non-Destructive Evaluation
NeXT	Non-thermal Energy eXploration Telescope
NICM	NASA Instrument Cost Model
NIRCam	Near-Infrared Camera (JWST instrument)
NIRSpec	Near-Infrared Spectrograph (JWST instrument)
NSI	NASA Standard Initiator
NTE	Not to Exceed

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
		Page 68 of 114	

OAP PDR PF	Orbital Average Power Preliminary Design Review Payload Fairing
PM	EOS Afternoon (PM) Mission Project
PPL	Preferred Parts List
PPS	Pulse Per Second
RDM	Radiation Design Margin
RSDO	Rapid Spacecraft Development Office
SI	Système international d'unités
SMD	Science Mission Directorate
SpW	SpaceWire
SRS	Shock Response Spectrum
STP-SIV	Space Test Program Standard Interface Vehicle
TBD	To Be Determined
TBR	To Be Resolved
TID	Total Ionizing Dose
TIRS	Thermal Infrared Sensor
TSIS	Total and Spectral Solar Irradiance Sensor
UIID	Unique Instrument Interface Document
VDC	Volts direct current
W	Watts
WFC	Wide Field Camera

15.2 Units of Measure

Abbreviation	Unit
А	Ampere
В	Bel
bps	Bits per second
eV	Electron-Volt
F	Farad
g	Gram
Hz	Hertz
J	Joule
m	Meter
Ν	Newton
S	Second
Т	Tesla
V	Volt
Ω	Ohm

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
		Page 69 of 114	

15.3 Metric Prefixes

Prefix	Meaning
М	mega (10 ⁶)
k	kilo (10 ³)
d	deci (10 ⁻¹)
С	centi (10 ⁻²)
m	milli (10 ⁻³)
μ	micro (10 ⁻⁶)
n	nano (10 ⁻⁹)
р	pico (10 ⁻¹²)

16.0 REFERENCE MATERIAL / BEST PRACTICES

16.1 Data Interface Reference Material / Best Practices

16.1.1 <u>SpaceWire</u>

- 16.1.2 SpaceWire Exclusivity
- 16.1.2.1 Command and Time Message Inputs

The Instrument should process and receive all commands and time messages exclusively using SpaceWire (ECSS-E-ST-50-12C).

16.1.2.2 Telemetry and Science Data Outputs

The Instrument should send all telemetry and science data exclusively using SpaceWire (ECSS-E-ST-50-12C).

Rationale: SpaceWire provides low-power, high data-rate, asynchronous serial communications.

SpaceWire is comprised of physical, link, and logical data layers. The physical layer specification defines detailed requirements for flight-qualified cabling and a micro-miniature D-type 9-pin connector. The link layer provides for handshake and low-level time synchronization. The data layer defines character codes, control codes, and a basic packet structure.

SpaceWire allows for the combination of many common data functions, often provided by disparate instrument-to-spacecraft data lines, into a single cable. For example, in this specification, traditional 1 PPS time signals are subsumed by SpaceWire time codes Section 16.1.4). Also, analog data read backs (e.g. thermistors) are replaced by digital housekeeping data sent from instrument to spacecraft as CII SpaceWire telemetry packets (see Section 16.1.13).

16.1.3 SpaceWire Clock and Data Rate

The SpaceWire link between the instrument and spacecraft should be clocked at 10 MHz in both directions. This provides a total data rate of 10 Mbps and, accounting for SpaceWire overhead, a useable data rate of approximately 8 Mbps.

Rationale: Based on a review of the NICM database, the majority of hosted payload class instruments do not exceed an expected data rate of 1.5 Mbps. While SpaceWire defines a minimum data signaling rate of 2 Mbps [ECSS-E-ST-50-12C, Section 6.6.1], the initial (i.e.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
		Page 70 of 114	

default at power-on) data signaling rate is 10 Mbps [ECSS-E-ST-50-12C, Section 6.6.5]. Reconciling these numbers, the CII default SpaceWire data rate is set to 10 Mbps. This comfortably exceeds expected hosted payload instrument capability and provides margin for possible outlier higher data rate instruments or those instruments that may need to exceed the expected maximum for short bursts. Further, adopting the initial SpaceWire 10 Mbps data rate eliminates the need for both instrument and spacecraft hardware and software to negotiate a lower data rate (e.g. 2 Mbps), which simplifies the interface.

Note: Data rates higher than 10 Mbps *may* be negotiated directly between a specific instrument and spacecraft provider once an HPO has been identified.

16.1.4 <u>SpaceWire Time Codes</u>

The Instrument should receive a low-level SpaceWire time code from the Spacecraft once per second.

Rationale: The SpaceWire time (control) code supplants the traditional 1 pulse-per-second (PPS) dedicated control line from spacecraft to instrument. This reduces the total number of wires between the instrument and spacecraft and simplifies the interface. Instruments are assumed to maintain their own internal clock, that coupled with Spacecraft Status Messages (see Section 16.1.10) further obviates the need for a 1 PPS signal. Low-level instrument electronics that still require a 1 PPS signal will use the SpaceWire time code.

Note: The 1 PPS time code signal is distinct from the high-level, periodic time message sent by the spacecraft to the instrument (see Sections 16.1.9.1 and 16.1.10).

16.1.5 <u>SpaceWire Redundancy (optional)</u>

16.1.5.1 *Multilink Configuration*

The instrument may employ two or four SpaceWire links for communication with the spacecraft in a simple parallel or cross-strapped configuration.

16.1.5.2 Active Links

Only one link should be considered active at any given time.

16.1.5.3 Secondary Links

Secondary link(s) should be used in the event the primary active link becomes inoperative or the instrument swaps to second string components.

Rationale: Hosted payload instruments are expected to be fall into NASA risk classifications C where reduced mission assurance standards are permitted. Furthermore, instrument providers will likely not be able to afford SpaceWire link-redundancy and all of its associated hardware and software complexity. Single-string instrument providers are strongly encouraged to minimize risk using other measures (e.g. design, analysis, parts selection).

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 71 of 114	

16.1.6 <u>CII Messages, Packet Formats, and Protocol</u>

16.1.7 <u>CII Messages</u>

There are five types of CII messages. Each message type is assigned a function code and data path (either instrument to spacecraft or vice-versa). Table 16-1 summarizes the message types, which subsequent subsections detail.

Type Code	Message Type	Data Path
0x02	Spacecraft Status Message	Spacecraft to Instrument
0x04	Command	Spacecraft to Instrument
0x08	Command Acknowledgement	Instrument to Spacecraft
0x10	Instrument Telemetry	Instrument to Spacecraft
0x20	Instrument Science Data	Instrument to Spacecraft

16.1.7.1 Unidirectional Message Flow

Each CII message type should flow only in the single direction indicated in Table 16-1.

16.1.8 <u>CII Basic Packet Format</u>

The SpaceWire – CCSDS Packet Transfer Protocol Specification (ECSS-E-ST-50-53C) forms the basis of the CII data packet format. The SpaceWire – CCSDS specification builds upon the SpaceWire (ECSS-E-ST-50-12C), SpaceWire Protocol Identification (ECSS-E-ST-50-12C) specifications, and CCSDS Space Packet Protocol Blue Book (CCSDS 133.0-B-1).

16.1.8.1 *Packet Structure*

The structure of a complete CII SpaceWire packet should conform to that depicted in Figure 16-1.

CII SpaceWire Packet							
Cargo							
Spvv	Protocol	CCSDS					
Address	ID (0x02)	Reserved (0x00)	Type Code	Header	Data	CRC	EXP
1 byte	1 byte	1 byte	1 byte	15 bytes	0–236 bytes	1 byte	4 hite
	19–255 bytes					4 DIIS	

Specification Legend

SpaceWire (ECSS-E-ST-50-12C)

SpaceWire Protocol Identification (ECSS-E-ST-50-51C)

SpaceWire – CCSDS Packet Transfer Protocol (ECSS-E-ST-50-53C)

GOES-R Reliable Data Delivery Protocol (417-R-RPT-0050; uses CRC only)

Packet specific data (e.g. time, position, commands, telemetry, science data)

Figure 16-1: CII SpaceWire Packet

Common Instrument Interface Project				
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 72 of 114		

The SpaceWire Specification defines a basic data packet format with one or more optional SpaceWire target path addresses and/or a target logical address. Following the SpaceWire path address are arbitrary packet data cargo and then an end-of-packet (EOP) marker. In the case of a SpaceWire link error, where a packet transmission ended prematurely, an error end-of-packet (EEP) marker replaces the EOP.

16.1.8.2 Address Assignment

The Spacecraft Provider may assign a path or logical SpaceWire address to the Instrument at the time of integration.

Rationale: Since path addresses are removed by SpaceWire hardware (e.g. when a packet passes through a SpaceWire router) and thus not encountered by SpaceWire applications (e.g. the instrument), their prescription is largely outside the scope of this document.

16.1.8.3 Cargo Packet Size

CII SpaceWire packet cargo should be no larger than 256 bytes in size.

Rationale: Northrop Grumman personnel with technical experience regarding SpaceWire's use on JWST strongly recommend a 256-byte size cap on SpaceWire packet data.

16.1.8.4 Single Byte Logical Address

A CII SpaceWire packet should start with a single byte logical address when it arrives at either the instrument or spacecraft.

16.1.8.5 Default Logical Address

If no specific logical address is assigned, the default logical address of 254 (0xFE) should be used.

Rationale: Required by the SpaceWire Protocol Identification specification [ECSS-E-ST-50-51C, 5.2.1 (a) and (b)].

16.1.8.6 Protocol ID Byte

The CII packet Protocol ID byte should be set to 0×02 .

Rationale: Required by the SpaceWire – CCSDS Packet Transfer Protocol specification [ECSS-E-ST-50-53C, 5.3.3].

16.1.8.7 Reserved Byte

The CII packet Reserved byte should be set to 0×00 .

Rationale: Required by the SpaceWire – CCSDS Packet Transfer Protocol specification [ECSS-E-ST-50-53C, 5.3.4].

The CII packet Type Code byte indicates the specific type of CII message that follows in the CCSDS packet data portions of the CII packet.
Common Instrument Interface Project				
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 73 of 114		

16.1.8.8 *Type Code*

The CII packet Type Code should be set to one of the values listed in Table 16-1.

A CRC byte located at the end of the CII packet CCSDS section allows packet recipients to check for packet corruption.

16.1.8.9 CRC Computation Method

The CRC computation method is defined in the GOES-R Reliable Data Delivery Protocol specification [417-R-RPT-0050].

16.1.8.10 CRC Computation Leading and Trailing Bytes

The CRC computation should include bytes starting with the CII packet Protocol ID byte and ending with the final CII packet Data byte.

Rationale: The CRC allows errors to be detected occurring in either the packet control data (e.g. Type Code, CCSDS packet length fields, etc.) or instrument telemetry and science data.

16.1.9 CCSDS Packet Data Structure

The structure of a complete CII CCSDS packet data header should conform to that depicted in Figure 16-2 through Figure 16-4.

Rationale: CCSDS headers are the established industry standard for space packet communication. The CCSDS primary header provides basic packet control data (e.g. packet sequence count, packet length, virtual channels, etc.). The CCSDS secondary header provides time information.

CCSDS Packet Data				
Primary Header	Secondary Header (Time)	Data	CRC	
6 bytes	9 bytes	0–236 bytes	1 byte	

Figure 16-2: CII CCSDS Packet Data

	CCSDS Primary Header							
	Packet Identification Packet Sequence Control							
Packet Version Number	Туре	Secondary Header Flag	APID	Sequence Flags	Sequence Count	Packet Length		
3 bits	1 bit	1 bit	11 bits	2 bits	14 bits	16 bits		
	2 bytes			2 by	tes	2 bytes		

Figure 16-3: CCSDS Primary Header

CCSDS Secondary Header								
CCSDS Unsegmented Time Code (CUC) Field								
P-Field T-Field								
Default	Extended		Coars	se Time		I	Fine Time	
1 byte	1 byte	1 byte	1 byte	1 byte	1 byte	1 byte	1 byte	1 byte

Figure 16-4 CCSDS Secondary Header (Time)

Common Instrument Interface Project				
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 74 of 114		

16.1.9.1 Elapsed Time since Default CCSDS Epoch

The CII CCSDS secondary header should contain the elapsed time (ET) in coarse (seconds) and fine (sub-second) units since the default CCSDS epoch of January 1, 1958.

Rationale: CCSDS headers are the established industry standard for space packet communication. The CCSDS secondary header provides time information. CCSDS Time is a common way to represent time in format that is straightforward for computers to store and manipulate.

Four bytes of coarse time allows approximately 136 years (year 2094) of time resolution. Three bytes of fine time allows up to 60 ns of sub-second resolution.

For example, the second draft revision of this document was created on Monday, February 7, 2011. To represent this date and time as a CII time, determine the number of seconds between January 1, 1958 and February 7, 2011: 1,675,728,000 seconds. This corresponds to the four byte coarse time: 0×63 $0 \times E1$ 0×94 0×80 and three byte fine time: 0×00 0×00 0×00 . If the next draft were issued 125ms later, the fine time would increment by $2^{24} \div 8 = 2,097,152$ subseconds, for a three byte fine time: 0×02 0×00 0×00 .

16.1.9.2 Extended Time P-Field

The next SpaceWire 6-bit time code should be embedded in the CUC Extended time P-Field, as defined in the SpaceWire – CCSDS Unsegmented Code Transfer Protocol (proposed).

Rationale: Synchronizing the next SpaceWire time code with the current time packet provides a convenient mechanism to indicate when the time should take effect. This also allows the SpaceWire time code to replace the 1 PPS time signal (see Section 6.3.1). Although three bytes of CCSDS CUC fine time allow 60 ns resolution, the delivery of SpaceWire time codes has an approximate resolution of only one microsecond.

Table 16-2 provides additional detail on the CII CCSDS primary header including valid values and value ranges for each field.

Common Instrument Interface Project				
Degument No. CII CI 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 75 of 114		

Field	Length (bits)	Value	Comments			
Packet Version Number	3	0b001	CII Packet Version 1			
Packet Type	1	0b0	CII SpaceWire-CCSDS packet			
Secondary Header Flag	1	0b1	Indicates the CII-CCSDS			
			secondary header is present			
Application Process	11	0–2048	Reserved for instrument use (e.g.			
Identifier			may be used to create virtual			
			science data channels).			
Sequence Flags	2	0b11	Indicates packet is unsegmented			
Sequence Count	14	0–16383	Specifies the packet sequence			
			count. The packet count begins at			
			zero, is incremented by one for			
			each successive packet sent, and			
			resumes at zero after 16384			
			packets have been sent.			
Packet Length	16	0–236	Specifies the length of the packet			
			data field and CRC byte in bytes.			

Table 16-2: CCSDS Primary Header Fields

16.1.9.3 Packet Version Number

The CII CCSDS Packet Version Number bits should be set to 0b001.

16.1.9.4 *Packet Type*

The CII CCSDS Packet Type bit should be set to 0b0.

16.1.9.5 Secondary Header Flag

The CII CCSDS Secondary Header Flag bit should be set to 0b1.

16.1.9.6 Sequence Flags

The CII CCSDS Sequence Flags bits should be set 0b11.

16.1.9.7 Distinct Sequence Counts

The Instrument and spacecraft should maintain distinct CII CCSDS packet sequence counts.

16.1.9.8 Sequence Count Initial Value

The CII CCSDS Sequence Count should begin at zero.

16.1.9.9 Sequence Count Incrementing

The CII CCSDS Sequence Count should increment by one for each successive packet sent.

16.1.9.10 Sequence Count Rollover

The CII CCSDS Sequence Count should resume at zero after 16384 packets have been sent.

Common Instrument Interface Project				
Degument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
Document no. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 76 of 114		

16.1.9.11 Packet Length Field

The CII CCSDS Packet Length should specify the length of the packet data field and CRC byte in bytes.

The CII CCSDS Application Processes Identifier (APID) is reserved for Instrument use. For example, it may be used to create virtual science data channels.

16.1.10 Spacecraft Status Message

16.1.10.1 Receipt and Processing

The Instrument should receive and process CII Spacecraft Status Messages containing the current spacecraft time (via the CII CCSDS secondary header) and position.

16.1.10.2 Updates to Instrument Internal Clock

The Instrument should update its internal clock to the current Spacecraft time upon receipt of the SpaceWire time code contained in the P-Field of the CCSDS Secondary header (see Section 16.1.9.1).

16.1.10.3 Spacecraft Status Message Intervals

The Spacecraft should send status messages at one second intervals.

16.1.10.4 Spacecraft Status Message Packet Structure

The structure of a complete CII Spacecraft Status Message packet should conform to that depicted in Figure 16-5 and Figure 16-6.

CII SpaceWire Spacecraft Status Message Packet							
				Cargo			
Spw	Protocol	CCSDS					
Logical Address	ID (0x02)	Reserved (0x00)	Type (0x02)	Header	Data	CRC	EXP
1 buto	1 byte	1 byte	1 byte	15 bytes	80 bytes	1 byte	4 hite
груге			9	9 bytes			4 DIIS

Figure 16-5: CII Spacecraft Status Message Packet

Data						
Ephemeris X Ephemeris V Quaternion						
24 bytes	24 bytes	32 bytes				

Figure 16-6: Data portion of CII Spacecraft Status Message Packet

The Ephemeris Message represents the current spacecraft position with three vectors, a position (X), a velocity (V), and a quaternion (Q).

16.1.10.5 Position Vector

The three-element position vector (X) should represent the spacecraft position with respect to the center of the Earth, in true-of-date inertial frame, in units of meters.

Common Instrument Interface Project				
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 77 of 114		

16.1.10.6 Velocity Vector

The three-element velocity vector (V) should represent the spacecraft inertial velocity, in true-ofdate inertial frame in units of meters/second.

16.1.10.7 Quaternion

The four-element, non-dimensional quaternion (Q) should define the transformation from the local-vertical, local-horizontal frame (LVLH) to the spacecraft body frame. Q_0 is the scalar term and Q_1 , Q_2 , Q_3 are the vector terms.

Note: Guidelines 16.1.10.5-16.1.10.7 follow *Space Test Program – Standard Interface Vehicle* (*STP-SIV*) *Payload User's Guide* for representing spacecraft position.

16.1.10.8 Floating Point Encoding

Each element of the position vector (X), velocity vector (V), and quaternion (Q) should be encoded as a big-endian IEEE-754 floating-point value.

16.1.11 Instrument Command

16.1.11.1 Spacecraft-Originated Command Messages

The Instrument should receive and process CII Command Messages from the Spacecraft.

16.1.11.2 Ground-Originated Command Messages

The Instrument should receive and process CII Command Messages from the ground (via the Spacecraft).

16.1.11.3 Command Processing Rates

The Instrument should receive and process no more than 10 spacecraft or ground commands per second.

16.1.11.4 Command Execution Priority

With the exception of the Enter SAFE Mode command message, the instrument should process all CII Command Messages in the order received.

16.1.11.5 Command Message Packet Structure

The structure of a complete CII Command Message packet should conform to that depicted in Figure 16-7 and Figure 16-8.

CII SpaceWire Command Message Packet							
Sm\//	Cargo						
Spvv	Protocol		CCSDS				EVD
Logical Address	ID (0x02)	Reserved (0x00)	Type (0x04)	Header	Data	CRC	EXP
1 byto	1 byte	1 byte	1 byte	15 bytes	3–236 bytes	1 byte	4 bite
i byte	22–255 bytes						4 0115



Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 78 of 114	

Data			
Command Source	Command ID	Command Data	
1 byte	2 bytes	0–233 bytes	

Figure 16-8: Data portion of CII Command Message Packet

The Command Source field indicates whether the command message originated from the spacecraft or the ground (operations team) (see Table 16-3).

Table 16-3: CII Command Source

Command Source	Command Origination Point
0x01	Spacecraft
0x02	Ground

16.1.11.6 Spacecraft-Originated Command Source Byte

For command messages that originate on the spacecraft, the CII Command Message Command Source byte should be set to 0×01 .

16.1.11.7 Ground-Originated Command Source Byte

For command messages that originate on the ground (e.g. uplinked by the operations team), the CII Command Message Command Source byte should be set to 0x02.

16.1.11.8 Command Message Command Identifier Bytes

The CII Command Message Command Identifier bytes should uniquely identify the command to be executed.

Most instrument command messages will be defined by the instrument provider and are largely opaque to the spacecraft provider. Therefore, the use and contents of the CII Command Message Command Data field are at the discretion of the instrument provider. CII defines only four basic commands (see Table 16-4).

Command ID	Command Message
0x0000	No Operation (no-op)
0x0011	Start Sending Telemetry
0x00FF	Stop Sending (Telemetry or Science) Data
0x5AFE	Enter SAFE mode
0x00FF-0xFFFF	Instrument specific commands (excluding 0x5AFE)

Table 16-4: CII Command ID's

16.1.11.9 No Operational Command Message

The instrument should acknowledge a No Operation (no-op) command message as received and successfully processed.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Deter 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 79 of 114	

Rationale: A no-operation command is common practice. It allows the spacecraft and ground operations team to determine whether or not the instrument's basic command subsystem is operating nominally.

16.1.11.10 Command Message Validation with CRC Byte

The Instrument should reject any CII Command Message with an invalid CRC byte.

Rationale: Command verification is a best practice. It allows the spacecraft and ground operations team to devise and operate FDIR procedures.

16.1.11.11 Resumption of Telemetry Transmission

When data transmission is suspended, the Instrument should resume telemetry transmission only upon receipt of a Start Sending Telemetry command.

16.1.11.12 Suspension of Telemetry Transmission

When telemetry and/or science data transmission is in progress, the Instrument should immediately suspend both telemetry and science data transmission upon receipt of the Stop Sending Data command.

Rationale: Data flow control is common practice. It allows the Spacecraft and ground operations team to devise and operate FDIR procedures.

16.1.11.13 Command Definition for Science Data Transmission Control

Instrument providers should define commands to disable, enable, and/or resume the transmission of only science data.

Rationale: Data flow control is common practice. However, commands for disabling, enabling, and/or resuming the transmission of science data may require instrument specific parameters (science data sets, locations in memory or on disk, begin and end observation times, etc.) and are therefore outside the scope of this document.

16.1.11.14 SAFE Mode Command Message

The Instrument should enter SAFE mode when it receives an Enter SAFE Mode command message command from the Spacecraft or ground.

16.1.11.15 SAFE Mode Command Priority

The Instrument should immediately process the Enter SAFE Mode command message ahead of any queued, but not yet processed, commands.

Rationale: Transition to SAFE mode is a high priority event. For the Instrument's, and possibly Spacecraft's, own health and safety, SAFE mode entry should be immediately accommodated.

16.1.12 Instrument Command Acknowledgement

16.1.12.1 Command Acknowledge Message

The Instrument should reply to every command received with a CII Command Acknowledgment Message.

Common Instrument Interface Project				
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 80 of 114		

16.1.12.2 Command Acknowledgement Rate

The instrument should acknowledge no more than 10 Spacecraft or ground commands per second.

16.1.12.3 Command Acknowledgement Message Packet Structure

The structure of a complete CII Command Acknowledgement Message packet should conform to that depicted in Figure 16-9 and 16-10.

CII SpaceWire Command Acknowledgement Message Packet							
0-14/	Cargo						
Spvv	Protocol		CCSDS				
Logical Address	ID (0x02)	Reserved (0x00)	Type (0x08)	Header	Data	CRC	EXP
1 buto	1 byte	1 byte	1 byte	15 bytes	9 bytes	1 byte	4 hita
Tbyle			2	28 bytes			4 0115

Figure 16-9: CII Command Acknowledgement Packet

Data					
Instrument Mode	Command Source	Command ID	Command Sequence Count	Command Status	
2 bytes	1 byte	2 bytes	2 bytes	2 bytes	

Figure 16-10: Data portion of CII Command Acknowledgement Packet

16.1.12.4 Instrument Major Mode

The first two bits of the CII Command Acknowledgement Message Instrument Mode bytes should denote the instrument major mode: INITIALIZATION (0b00), OPERATION (0b01), and SAFE (0b10) (see Section 5.1).

16.1.12.5 Invalid Major Mode Values

The first two bits of the CII Command Acknowledgement Message Instrument Mode bytes should never be set to the invalid value of 0b11.

16.1.12.6 Instrument Submodes

The remaining 14 bits of the CII Command Acknowledgement Instrument Mode may be used at the instrument's discretion to indicate sub-modes within any major mode (see Section 5.1).

16.1.12.7 Fields to Echo

The CII Command Acknowledgement Command Source, Command ID, and CCSDS Primary Header Sequence Count fields should be echoed from the CII Command Message being acknowledged.

16.1.12.8 Status of Last Command

The CII Command Acknowledgement Command Status field should indicate the status of the last command (Table 16-4).

Common Instrument Interface Project				
Decument Net CII CI 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 81 of 114		

Table 16-4: Command Status

Command Status	Description
0x0000	Command Received and Processed Nominally
0x0002	Command Packet CRC Error
0x0004	Invalid Flow Control Operation
0x0008	Instrument Already in SAFE mode
0x00FF-0xFFFF	Instrument Specific Command Status Codes

16.1.12.9 Acknowledgement of Messages with Invalid CRC

The Instrument should acknowledge any CII Command Message with an invalid CRC byte with a Command Packet CRC error Command Status code (0x00002).

Rationale: Notification of potentially invalid commands is a common practice.

16.1.12.10 *Start Sending Telemetry Acknowledgement with Telemetry in Progress* When telemetry transmission is in progress, the Instrument should acknowledge a Start Sending Telemetry command message with an Invalid Flow Control Operation Command Status code (0×0004) .

16.1.12.11 Stop Sending Telemetry Acknowledgement with Telemetry Suspended When data transmission is suspended, the Instrument should acknowledge a Stop Sending Data command message with an Invalid Flow Control Operation Command Status code (0×0004) .

16.1.12.12 Instrument Already in SAFE Mode Acknowledgement

When in SAFE mode, the Instrument should acknowledge an Enter SAFE Mode command message with an Instrument Already in SAFE Mode Command Status code (0×0008) .

16.1.12.13 Off-Nominal or Erroneous Condition Acknowledgement

If the processing of any CII Command Message fails an internal command or argument sanity check or results in an off-nominal or erroneous condition, the Instrument should acknowledge with a specific and precise instrument specific Command Status code ($0 \times 00FF - 0 \times FFFF$).

16.1.12.14 *Command Received and Processed Nominally Acknowledgement* If the processing of any CII Command Message was successful and nominal in all respects, the Instrument should acknowledge with a Command Received and Processed Nominally Command Status code (0x0000).

Rationale: Explicit, specific status codes are common practice.

16.1.13 Instrument Telemetry

CII Instrument Telemetry is sent without acknowledgement or retries. An invalid CRC may be used to identify and flag corrupt packet data.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Degument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 82 of 114	

16.1.13.1 EHA Telemetry Transmission Rate

The Instrument should transmit engineering, health, and accountability (EHA) telemetry data at a rate of up to 10 Hz.

16.1.13.2 Instrument Telemetry Message Packet Structure

The structure of a complete CII Instrument Telemetry Message packet should conform to that depicted in Figure 16-11 and Figure 16-12.

CII SpaceWire Instrument Telemetry Message Packet							
Cargo							
Spvv	Protocol			CCSE	S		EvD.
Address	ID (0x02)	Reserved (0x00)	Type (0x10)	Header	Data	CRC	EXP
1 buto	1 byte	1 byte	1 byte	15 bytes	236 bytes	1 byte	1 hita
T byte				255 bytes			4 0115

Figure 16-11: CII Telemetry Packet

Data				
Instrument Mode	Telemetry Bank		Telemetry Data	
2 bytes	2 bytes	4 bytes		4 bytes

Figure 16-12: Data portion of CII Telemetry Packet

16.1.13.3 Instrument Major Mode

The first two bits of the CII Telemetry Message Instrument Mode bytes should denote the instrument major mode: INITIALIZATION (0b00), OPERATION (0b01), and SAFE (0b10) (see Section 5.1).

16.1.13.4 Instrument Major Mode Invalid Value

The first two bits of the CII Telemetry Message Instrument Mode bytes should never be set to the invalid value of 0b11.

16.1.13.5 Instrument Sub-Modes

The remaining 14 bits of the CII Command Acknowledgement Instrument Mode may be used at the Instrument's discretion to indicate sub-modes within any major mode (see Section 5.1).

CII Telemetry Messages contain up to 58 telemetry values per packet. A group of 58 values comprises a single telemetry bank. A telemetry bank is simply a numerical identifier used to logically identify a set of telemetry values. The rotation order and frequency of telemetry banks is at the discretion of the Instrument.

16.1.13.6 Unused Value Slots

Instrument telemetry banks containing less than 58 telemetry values, should set unused value slots to zero (0×00000000) .

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Degument Net CII CI 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 83 of 114	

16.1.13.7 Telemetry Value Encoding

Each Instrument telemetry value should be encoded big-endian as either a standard two's complement 32-bit signed integer, a 32-bit unsigned integer, or an IEEE-754 floating-point values.

16.1.13.8 *Telemetry Dictionary*

All Instrument telemetry should be documented in a telemetry dictionary, with a complete listing of telemetry banks, telemetry value indexes within each bank, and telemetry value types to the spacecraft provider.

Rationale: A common format to communicate telemetry values will help facilitate straightforward monitoring of certain safety-critical telemetry values by the spacecraft (e.g. to command the instrument to SAFE mode if a thermal limit is exceeded) and/or ground operations team.

16.1.13.9 Diagnostic Data

In addition to sensor read backs, Instrument Providers may include software versions, status codes, internal counters, and other useful diagnostic data in their telemetry definitions.

The CII Data Interface guidelines for instrument telemetry are intended to replace discrete read backs (e.g. thermistors, relay status, etc.). While discrete line interfaces are best practice, CII does not define a specific cable level discrete interface. Furthermore, it is extremely advantageous for Instrument Providers to minimize their use of and dependence on discrete line interfaces. Doing so will maximize HPO's. Many spacecraft providers have communicated to the CII Team that they may not be able to provide many or any discrete line interfaces to hosted payloads.

16.1.14 Instrument Science Data

CII Instrument Science Data is sent without acknowledgement or retries. An invalid CRC may be used to identify and flag corrupt data.

16.1.14.1 Maximum Number of Science Data Packets

The instrument should limit its maximum number of science data packets to match the SpaceWire date rate specified in Section 16.1.3.

16.1.14.2 Science Data Message Packet Structure

The structure of a complete CII Science Data Message packet should conform to that depicted in Figure 16-13 and Figure 16-14.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Desument Net CII CI 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 84 of 114	

CII SpaceWire Instrument Science Data Message Packet							
Cargo							
Spvv	Protocol	CCSDS					
Address	ID (0x02)	Reserved (0x00)	Type (0x20)	Header	Data	CRC	EXP
1 buto	1 byte	1 byte	1 byte	15 bytes	236 bytes	1 byte	4 hite
255 bytes				4 DItS			

Figure 16-13: CII Science Data Packet

Data
Science Data
236 bytes
-

Figure 16-14: Data portion of CII Science Data Packet

Protocol Overhead: According to data found in Appendix B of the JWST SpaceWire Specification, SpaceWire science packets for NIRCam, NIRSpec, MIRI, FGS each have a 23% total (SpaceWire plus protocol) overhead. The proposed CII protocol has 26% total overhead. (Since SpaceWire encodes each byte using 10 bits, it is not possible to achieve better than 20% total overhead.) For science data, JWST has half the protocol-only overhead as the proposed CII protocol.

For comparison, on a 200 Mbps link, JWST can achieve a maximum of 154 Mbps of science data while CII can achieve 148 Mbps. JWST uses a custom SpaceWire – CCSDS protocol (likely because the SpaceWire – CCSDS Standard was not available when JWST developed their protocol) for all SpaceWire communications *except* science data.

16.2 Electrical Power Interface Reference Material / Best Practices

16.2.1 <u>Electrical Interface Definitions</u>

16.2.1.1 *Power Interface*

There should be three redundant power buses feeding each Instrument. They are designated as the Power Feed #1, Power Feed #2, and the Survival Heater Power Feed.

16.2.1.2 *Power Interface Returns*

Each power bus should have separate ground returns.

16.2.1.3 *Power Bus Redundancy*

Each power bus should be redundant with independent sides designated as Power Bus A and Power Bus B.

16.2.1.4 *Power Bus Current Rate of Change*

For power bus loads with current change greater than 2 A, the rate of change of current should not exceed 500 mA/ μ s.

16.2.1.5 *Power Bus*

Each power bus is redundant with primary and redundant power designated as Power Bus A and Power Bus B.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Desument Net CII CI 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 85 of 114	

16.2.1.6 Survival Heater Power Bus

The Survival Heater Power Bus is a redundant power bus. SURVIVAL is a Spacecraft-initiated mode in which operational power to the Instruments is interrupted. Survival power is used only for heaters and associated passive control circuitry which maintain the Instrument at minimum turn-on temperature. Sides A and B are designated as Survival Heater Bus A and Survival Heater Bus B.

16.2.1.7 Survival Heater Redundancy

The survival heaters should be redundant.

16.2.1.8 Survival Heater Power Bus Isolation

The survival heater power bus primary and redundant power should be electrically isolated from each other and from chassis.

16.2.1.9 Survival Heater Power Bus Returns

The survival heater power bus should have independent power returns. The Spacecraft can switch off survival power buses, which will normally be continuously powered during flight.

16.2.1.10 Survival Heater Power Bus Power Limit

Instrument survival heater power should not exceed 60W end-of-life (EOL) at worst-case low bus voltage. This power covers all of the heater power requirements required to maintain the Instrument at or above the non-operational survival temperature when the spacecraft is either in the Launch and Early orbit Operation (LEOP) portion of the mission, in SAFE Mode, or performing an orbit correction maneuver. The spacecraft provides the switched power to the Instrument when required by the mission.

16.2.1.11 Survival Heater Power Bus Circuit Failure

The Instrument design should prevent a stuck on condition of the survival heaters due to internal failures.

16.2.1.12 Survival Heater Power Bus Heater Type

The Instrument should use survival power only for resistive heaters (and associated thermal control device) which maintain the Instrument at minimum turn-on temperature when the main power bus is disconnected from the Instrument.

16.2.1.13 Survival Heater Power Bus Design

The system design should be such that having both primary and redundant survival heater circuits enabled does not violate any thermal or power requirement.

16.2.1.14 Survival Heater Power Bus Isolation

Survival heater power buses should be electrically isolated from each other, from other Instrument thermal control, from chassis, and have independent power returns.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Degument No. CII CI 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 86 of 114	

16.2.1.15 Survival Heater Power Bus Emergency

The Spacecraft should ensure that both the primary and redundant survival heater circuits are normally enabled on-orbit when an Instrument is off. However, even the survival heaters may be turned off in the event of an emergency where the survival of the spacecraft is in jeopardy.

16.2.1.16 Spacecraft Operational Power Switching

The Spacecraft should provide switched Primary and Redundant Operational Power to the Instrument.

16.2.1.17 Spacecraft Survival Heater Power Bus Power Application

The Spacecraft should sequentially apply power to each survival heater power feed.

16.2.1.18 Spacecraft Survival Heater Power Bus Switching

The Spacecraft should provide switched Primary and Redundant Survival Power to the Instrument.

16.2.1.19 Energy Recovery Mode

In the event that the Spacecraft battery state-of-charge falls below 50%, the Spacecraft will power off the Instrument after appropriately placing the Instrument in SAFE mode, and Instrument operation will not resume until the ground operators have determined it is safe to return to OPERATION mode.

16.2.1.20 Low Voltage Detection

A voltage excursion that causes the spacecraft Primary Power Bus to drop below 22VDC in excess of four seconds constitutes an under-voltage condition. In the event of an under-voltage condition, the spacecraft will shed various loads without delay, including the Instrument. A ground command should be required to re-power the load.

16.2.1.21 Bus Undervoltage and Overvoltage Transients

The Instrument should be able to survive without damage a power bus undervoltage or overvoltage condition occurring.

16.2.1.22 Bus Undervoltage and Overvoltage Transients

Derating factors should take into account the stresses that components are subjected to during periods of undervoltage or overvoltage, including conditions which arise during ground testing, while the bus voltage is slowly brought up to its nominal value.

16.2.1.23 Bus Undervoltage and Overvoltage Transients

The Instrument should not generate a spurious response that can cause equipment damage or otherwise be detrimental to the spacecraft operation during bus voltage variation, either up or down, at ramp rates below the limits specified in the sections below, and over the full range from zero to maximum bus voltage.

16.2.1.24 Normal Transients from Load Changes

The bus voltage should not vary by more than 1.0 V during a 5 A in 1 ms step load change (+1 V during a -5 A step, -1 V during a +5A step).

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CII CI 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 87 of 114	

16.2.1.25 Normal Transients from Eclipse Entry

At entry into eclipse, the bus voltage should not vary by more than -0.2 V/s, with a maximum voltage change of -6 V, not to go below 22 VDC at the Instrument input.

16.2.1.26 Normal Transients from Eclipse Exit

At exit from eclipse, the bus voltage should not change by more than 0.2 V/s, with a maximum change in sunlight of +6 V, not to exceed 34 VDC at the Instrument input.

16.2.1.27 Abnormal Transients Undervoltage

An abnormal undervoltage event should be defined as a transient decrease in voltage on the +28 VDC bus to no less than +10 VDC, maintaining the decreased voltage for no more than 10 ms, and returning to its previous voltage in less than 200 ms.

16.2.1.28 Abnormal Transients Undervoltage Tolerance

All Spacecraft components should ensure that overstress does not occur to the unit during the undervoltage.

16.2.1.29 Abnormal Transients Recovery

Units which shut-off during an undervoltage should return to a nominal power-up state at the end of the transient.

16.2.1.30 Abnormal Transients Overvoltage

An overvoltage event should be defined as a transient increase in voltage on the +28 VDC bus to no greater than +40 VDC, maintaining the increased voltage for no more than 10 ms, and returning to its previous voltage in less than 200 ms.

16.2.1.31 Abnormal Transients Overvoltage Tolerance

All spacecraft components should operate through the overvoltage transient, with no degradation in performance, and no overstress of electrical components.

16.2.1.32 Power Bus Ripple Voltage

The ripple voltage on the power bus should be less than 5% peak-to-peak of line voltage (28 V) over all frequency ranges from 1 Hz to 10 MHz. The output ripple of the power subsystem alone should be less than 250 mV peak-to-peak over the frequency range of 1 Hz to 10 MHz.

16.2.1.33 Power Bus Ripple Limit

The output ripple of the power subsystem including the effect of all loads should not exceed 500 mV peak-to-peak over the frequency range of 1 Hz to 10 MHz.

16.2.1.34 Instrument Turn-on Transients

During initial turn-on of the Instrument, or as a result of a change in operating mode, the operational inrush current drawn by the DC power input should meet the guidelines in the following paragraphs (see Figure 16-15).

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Desument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Deter 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 88 of 114	



Figure 16-15: Maximum Inrush Current

16.2.1.35 Instrument Initial In-rush Current

After application of +28 VDC power at t_0 , the initial inrush (charging) current due to distributed capacitance, EMI filters, etc., should be completed in 10 μ s with its peak no greater than 10 A.

16.2.1.36 Instrument Initial In-rush Current Rate of Change

The rate of change of inrush current after the initial application of +28V power should not exceed 20 mA/ μ s.

16.2.1.37 Instrument In-rush Current after 10 µs

After 10μ s, the transient current peak should not exceed three times the maximum steady state current.

16.2.1.38 Instrument Steady State Operation

Steady state operation should be attained within 50 ms from turn-on or transition to OPERATION mode, except for motors.

16.2.1.39 Instrument Turn-off Peak Voltage Transients

The peak voltage of transients generated on the Instrument side of the power relay caused by inductive effects of the load should fall within the -2 VDC to +40 VDC range.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CII CI 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001		Page 89 of 114	

16.2.1.40 Instrument Turn-off Transient Suppression

The Instruments should use suppression devices, such as diodes, across all filter inductors, relay coils, or other energy sources that could induce transients on the power lines during turn-off.

16.2.1.41 Instrument Turn-off Transient Suppression Device Location

The suppression devices should be located at the source of the inductive transients.

16.2.2 <u>Reflected Ripple Current – Steady State</u>

The peak-to-peak amplitude of steady state load current generated by the Instrument should not exceed 2% of the maximum average steady state current drawn by the Instrument.

16.2.3 <u>Reflected Ripple Current – Mode Changes</u>

The load current ripple due to rpm mode changes should not exceed 2 times the steady state current during the period of the motor spin-up or spin-down.

16.2.3.1 Instrument Operational Transients Current Limit

Operational transients that occur after initial turn-on should not exceed 125% of the peak operational current drawn during normal operation.

16.2.3.2 Instrument Operational Transients Duration

The maximum duration of the transients should not exceed 50 ms.

16.2.3.3 Instrument Reflected Ripple Current

The peak-to-peak load current ripple generated by the Instrument should not exceed 25% of the average current on any Power Feed bus.

16.2.3.4 *Overcurrent Protection Definition*

The analysis defining the overcurrent protection device specification(s) should consider turn-on, operational, and turn-off transients.

16.2.3.5 Overcurrent Protection – Harness Compatibility

Harness wire sizes should be consistent with overcurrent protection device sizes and de-rating factors.

16.2.3.6 Overcurrent Protection – Power Supply Compatibility

Power supply output capability should be adequate to clear a fuse or other overcurrent protection device.

16.2.3.7 *Overcurrent Protection Device Size Documentation*

The agreed-upon type, size, and characteristics of the overcurrent protection device(s) should be documented in the Spacecraft to Instrument ICD.

16.2.3.8 Instrument Internal Overcurrent Protection

All Instrument internal overcurrent protection devices should be accessible at the Spacecraft integration level without the disassembly of the Instrument.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CIL CI 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document no. CII-CI-0001		Page 90 of 114	

16.2.3.9 Instrument Internal Fuses

The Instrument should not have internal fuses.

16.2.3.10 Instrument Fault Propagation Protection

The Instrument and spacecraft should not propagate a single fault occurring on either the "A" or "B" power interface circuit, on either side of the interface, to the redundant interface or Instrument.

16.2.3.11 Instrument High-Voltage Ambient Operation

To allow ambient testing, Instrument high-voltage supplies should be capable of being operated at ambient pressure.

16.2.3.12 Instrument High-Voltage Current Limiting

The output of each Instrument's high-voltage supply should be current limited to prevent the supply's discharge from damaging the Spacecraft and other Instruments.

16.2.3.13 Instrument High-Voltage Disabling

If an Instrument high-voltage supply cannot be operated at ambient pressure, it should be appropriately disabled by manual means to allow ambient testing of the instrument.

16.2.3.14 Isolation

Isolation requirements from primary power to chassis and primary power to secondary power should be adhered to at each primary power service.

16.2.3.15 Power Input Isolation

At the Instrument interface, isolation should exist between the six power buses shown in Figure 7-1.

16.2.3.16 Primary Power Isolation

The 28 VDC primary power leads and returns should be isolated from signal and signal return, and chassis ground by greater than 1 M Ω when measured at the Instrument input.

16.2.3.17 Secondary Power Isolation

Secondary power should be isolated from 28 VDC primary power by greater than 1 M Ω .

16.2.3.18 Secondary Power Return

Secondary power circuits should provide current return leads from each Instrument component which utilizes secondary power.

16.2.3.19 Secondary Power Return

These secondary power returns should be connected at a single point, referred to as the Secondary Power Reference.

16.2.3.20 Secondary Power Reference

The Secondary Power Reference should bond to the chassis ground.

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 91 of 114	

16.2.3.21 Isolated Secondary Referencing

If isolated secondary power is used within the Instrument, the isolated returns should be referenced to chassis ground through a resistive impedance whose value is selected by the Instrument provider and not left floating.

16.2.3.22 Signal Reference

The Instrument's Secondary Power Reference and the signal reference for Spacecraft interface circuits should be the same point electrically.

16.2.3.23 Signal Reference Connectivity

All Instrument signal references for the Spacecraft interface circuits should electrically connect within the Instrument.

16.2.3.24 Signal Reference Constraints

Neither signal nor chassis ground reference points should be used as power conductors.

16.2.4 <u>Chassis Ground</u>

16.2.4.1 Instrument Ground Plane

The Spacecraft Provider should furnish a common electrically conductive ground plane to which all Instrument chassis should be electrically connected.

16.2.4.2 Chassis Ground Current

Instruments should not use chassis ground to conduct power and signal currents under normal conditions. Only fault and leakage currents should be conducted through chassis grounds.

16.2.5 <u>Component Grounding</u>

16.2.5.1 Component Ground Location

The Instrument should provide a designated chassis ground terminal on each component, as close to all electrical connectors as possible, for connection to the ground plane.

16.2.6 External Grounding Tie Point

16.2.6.1 External Ground Tie Point

Each Instrument should identify in the Electrical Interface Control Drawing an external chassis ground tie point to be used for external connections while the Instrument is being moved.

16.2.7 <u>Signal Reference Plane</u>

16.2.7.1 Instrument Ground Plane Connection

The Instrument Ground Plane is the electrically conductive surface to which all Instrument components are electrically connected (bonded). The Spacecraft Contractor should electrically connect the Instrument ground plane to the Signal Reference Plane.

16.2.8 <u>Thermal Blanket Grounding</u>

16.2.8.1 Thermal Blanket Layer Interconnection

All thermal insulation blankets should be designed with metallized and conductive layers electrically interconnected such that the resistance between layers is less than 10 Ω (DC).

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
		Page 92 of 114

16.2.8.2 Thermal Blanket Chassis Grounding

Thermal insulation blankets should be connected to chassis ground with a resistance of less than 10 Ω (DC).

16.2.9 <u>Grounding of External Surfaces</u>

To prevent electrostatic charging, external insulating materials and surface finishes should have a surface resistivity of less than or equal to 1×10^9 ohms per Square.

16.2.10 Grounding of External Surfaces

The following parameters in Table 16-5 should apply to surface coatings (e.g., conductive paints, thermal protection):

Table 16-5: Surface Resistivity

Description	Maximum Surface Resistivity (ohms per Square)
Surface Coating over Dielectric	1×10 ⁹
Surface Coating over Metal or Conductive Composite	1x10 ⁹ coating thickness (cm)
Thin Film Material	1×10 ¹²

16.2.11 Grounding of External Surfaces

The resistance of the connection between the conductive paint and the basic structure should be less than $1 \times 10^5 \Omega$ when dry.

16.2.12 Power Return

Power return conductors should be provided for all current drawn from the +28 VDC Power Bus.

16.2.13 Power Return Isolation

Power return-to-chassis isolation should be at least 1 M Ω .

16.2.14 Signal Ground DC/DC Converter Reference

Signal ground should be the zero reference voltage for the secondary side of all instrument DC/DC converters.

16.2.15 Signal Ground Telemetry Reference

Signal ground should be the ground reference for all telemetry circuits.

16.2.16 <u>Signal Ground</u>

A signal ground wire should be connected from each component to the spacecraft Secondary Signal Reference Point.

16.2.17 <u>Signal Return Continuity</u>

Signal ground-to-chassis continuity should be less than 10 m Ω .

16.2.18 Signal Return Separation

Each connector should have at least two separate pins for analog and digital signal return.

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 93 of 114

16.2.19 Component Grounding

In general, Instrument housings should be grounded to the satellite structure via the component mounting feet or via the baseplate together with an electrically and thermally conductive adhesive.

16.2.20 Component Grounding

Any electrically nonconductive anticorrosion finish should be removed from the joint faces before grounding. The instrument may be grounded to the satellite structure via an external ground wire for the following reasons:

- 1) The component was not designed for grounding via the mounting feet.
- 2) The component requires thermal isolation from its mounting surface.
- 3) The component requires special, non-conductive, shock absorbing mounts.
- 4) The component employs electrically non-conductive adhesive between baseplate and panel.

16.2.21 Component Grounding

All chassis-to-structure electrical bonds should comply with NASA-STD-4003, with a maximum DC resistance of 10 m Ω across the interface.

16.2.22 Component Grounding

The total DC resistance between Instrument housings and single point ground should not exceed 10 m Ω multiplied by the number of mechanical interfaces between the housing and spacecraft single-point ground.

16.2.23 Component Grounding

Interfaces between all isolated conducting items (except antenna) which are external to the vehicle and have any linear dimension greater than 3 inches, or otherwise are subject to frictional charging, should have secure connections to the spacecraft structure.

16.2.24 Component Grounding

The resistance of the connection should be less than 1 Ω as measured between the isolated conducting item and the nearest conductive spacecraft structural element.

16.2.25 <u>Component Grounding</u>

All metallic subchassis, chassis, and enclosures of the Instrument, including all component shields, lids, connector shells and other fittings, should be considered as electrical extensions of the ground plane.

16.2.25.1 SpaceWire Harness Wiring Requirements

The instrument SpaceWire harness should conform to ECSS-E-ST-50-12C.

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
		Page 94 of 114

16.2.26 <u>Tie Points</u>

16.2.26.1 Tie Point Locations and Provider

The locations of harness tie points should be based on an agreement between the Instrument Provider and the Spacecraft Provider.

16.2.26.2 Tie Point Documentation

The locations of the tie points should be documented in the appropriate Electrical Interface Control Drawing.

16.2.27 Connectors

The following should be applied to the selection and use of all interface connectors.

16.2.27.1 Connector Selection

Connector selection should consider materials outgassing, EMI, and reliability.

16.2.27.2 Connectors – Magnetic Content

Connectors should be constructed of low magnetic content materials with a residual magnetic field of $< N \times 0.1$ nT where *N* represent the number of contacts.

16.2.27.3 Connectors – Circular Features

Circular connectors should feature scoop proof design and include grounding fingers.

16.2.27.4 Connector Savers

Throughout all hardware development, test, and integration phases, connector savers should be used to preserve the mating life of component flight connectors.

16.2.27.5 Connector Saver Adapters

The instrument provider should deliver connector saver adapters with high reliability connectors to minimize mating and demating operations with the flight connectors during integration and test.

16.2.27.6 Connector Separation

Separate harness interface connectors should be provided on all components for each of the following functions:

- 1) +28 VDC bus power and return
- 2) Telemetry and command signals with returns
- 3) Deployment actuation power and return (where applicable)

16.2.27.7 Electrical Connector Constraints

The connector half that has the primary power source should use socket contacts.

16.2.27.8 Command and Telemetry Returns

Telemetry return and relay driver return pins should be assigned on the same connector(s) as the command and telemetry signals.

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
		Page 95 of 114

16.2.27.9 Connector Usage and Pin Assignments

Harness side power connectors and all box/bracket mounted connectors supplying power to other components should have female contacts (connector does not have exposed contacts).

16.2.27.10 Connector Contact Assignments

The connector contact assignment should consider effects of electromagnetic coupling.

16.2.27.11 Connector Function Separation

Incompatible functions should be physically separated.

16.2.27.12 Triaxial Connector Shields

If triaxial cables are used for signals, the inner shield signal ground should be assigned to the pin adjacent to the signal.

16.2.27.13 Connector Derating

Instrument and Spacecraft should derate electrical connectors using *Electronic Parts, Materials, and Processes for Space and Launch Vehicles* (MIL-HDBK-1547A) as a guide.

16.2.27.14 Connector Access

At least 50 mm of clearance should exist around the outside of mated connectors.

16.2.27.15 Connector Clearance

The mated connectors should be accessible on the Spacecraft without removal of adjacent Instruments.

16.2.27.16 Connector Location

The Spacecraft Provider should define any areas in which connectors are not allowed as a result of satellite configuration constraints.

16.2.27.17 Connector Engagement

Connectors should be mounted to ensure straight and free engagement of the contacts.

16.2.27.18 Connector Spacing

Connectors should be spaced far enough apart so that the device can be held firmly either by hand or plug removal tool for connecting and disconnecting.

16.2.27.19 Connector Location and Type Documentation

Connector locations, orientations, and keyway locations should be identified in the appropriate Electrical Interface Control Drawing.

16.2.27.20 Power Connector Type

The Instrument power connectors should be space-flight qualified *General Specification for Connectors, Electric, Rectangular, Nonenvironmental, Miniature, Polarized Shell, Rack and Panel* (MIL-DTL-24308), Class M, Subminiature Rectangular connectors with standard density size 20 crimp contacts and conform to *Connectors, Electrical, Polarized Shell, Rack and Panel, for Space Use* (GSFC S-311-P-4/09).

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
		Page 96 of 114

16.2.27.21 Power Connector Size and Conductor Gauge

The Instrument power connectors should have 20 AWG, 9 conductor (shell size 1) or 15 conductor (shell size 2) connectors.

16.2.27.22 Power Connector Pin Out

The Instrument power connectors should utilize the supply and return pin outs defined in Table 16-6 and identified in Figure 16-16 thru Figure 16-18. *Note that the connectors are depicted with the instrument side of the connector (pins) shown while the spacecraft side of the connector (sockets) is the mirror image.*

Table 16-6: Instrument Power Connector Pin Out Definition

Power feed	Bus	Supply Conductor Position	Return Conductor Position
Power Feed #1	А	6	1
Power Feed #1	В	9	5
Power Feed #2	А	9	1
Power Feed #2	В	15	8
Survival Heater	А	2	7
Survival Heater	В	4	8



Figure 16-16: Instrument Side Power Feed #1 Bus A & Bus B



Figure 16-17: Instrument Side Power Feed #2 Bus A & Bus B



Figure 16-18: Instrument Side Survival Heater Feed Bus A & Bus B

16.2.27.23 SpaceWire Connector Type

The Instrument SpaceWire connectors should be space-flight qualified *General Specification for Connectors, Electrical, Rectangular, Microminiature, Polarized Shell* (MIL-DTL-83513), Class M, Microminiature Rectangular Polarized Shell connectors with size 24 crimp or solder contacts and conform to ECSS-E-ST-50-12C.

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
		Page 97 of 114

16.2.27.24 SpaceWire Connector Pin Out

The Instrument SpaceWire connectors should and conform to ECSS-E-ST-50-12C.

16.2.27.25 Power Connector Provision

The Instrument Provider should furnish all instrument power mating connectors (Socket Side) to the Spacecraft Provider for interface harness fabrication.

16.2.27.26 Power Connector Conductor Size and Type

The Instrument should have size 20 socket crimp contacts on the Instrument side power connectors and size 20 pin crimp contacts on the Spacecraft side power connectors.

16.2.28 <u>Prevention of Mismating</u>

Where adjacent signal connectors are the same shell sizes, alternate gender insert/contacts should be utilized.

16.2.29 <u>Connector Orientation</u>

Adjacent rectangular "D" shell connectors of the same size and gender should be rotated 180° from each other.

16.2.30 Connector Marking

When receptacles of similar configuration are in close proximity, the mating plugs and receptacles should be suitably marked or coded to indicate clearly the mating connections.

16.2.31 <u>Connector Configuration</u>

All connectors should be different sizes, different types, or uniquely keyed to prevent incorrect connections with other accessible connector plugs or receptacles.

16.2.32 Prevention of Mismating

Alternative insert position or shell keyway polarizing should be specified to prevent incorrect connections with other adjacent connector plugs or receptacles of the same shell size. In most cases, normal (N) insert or shell key polarized items are to be chosen for ease of procurement and logistic support.

16.2.33 <u>Prevention of Mismating</u>

Physically adjacent shells should be different sizes to avoid the mismating of connectors.

16.2.34 <u>Power Connector Keying</u>

The instrument power connectors should be keyed as defined in Figure 16-19.



Figure 16-19: Power Connector Keying

16.2.35 Interface Connector Provider

16.2.35.1 Harness Connectors

All connectors attached to Spacecraft harnesses should be furnished by the Spacecraft Provider except as noted at Instrument interfaces.

16.2.35.2 Harness Connectors

The Spacecraft Provider should be responsible for verifying that the Instrument connectors and Spacecraft connectors are compatible.

16.2.35.3 Connector Type Selection

All connectors to be used by the Instrument should be selected from the GSFC Preferred Parts List (PPL).

16.2.35.4 Connector Type Documentation

The appropriate Electrical Interface Control Drawing should document the connector types used by the Instrument.

16.2.36 Flight Plugs

16.2.36.1 Flight Plug Installation

Flight plugs requiring installation prior to launch should be capable of being installed at the Spacecraft level.

16.2.36.2 Flight Plug Responsibility

The Instrument Provider should furnish flight plugs.

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
		Page 99 of 114

16.2.36.3 Flight Plug Documentation

The appropriate Electrical Interface Control Drawing should document flight plugs and their locations.

16.2.36.4 Connector Protective Covers

The Instrument Provider should furnish captive covers for all instrument connectors which are not mated to harnesses or flight plugs.

16.2.36.5 Connector Protective Cover Supply

The Instrument Provider should furnish protective covers for all Instrument-provided connectors.

16.2.36.6 Non-flight Connector Protective Covers

Non-flight covers should be marked in red.

16.2.36.7 Flight Connector Protective Covers

Covers remaining on the satellite for flight should be flight quality.

16.2.36.8 Protective Covers for Launch Site Serviceable Connectors

Connectors requiring servicing at the launch site should have captive covers and hardware.

16.2.36.9 Connector Protective Cover Flexible Coupling

Circular connector captive covers should be connected to the component with a flexible coupling to prevent separation of the cover from the component during cover removal.

16.2.36.10 Connector Protective Cover Screws

All screws used to secure connector covers to "D" type connectors should be designed to be held captive to the cover.

16.2.37 <u>Test Connectors</u>

16.2.37.1 Test Connector Location and Types

The location of test connectors and test coupler ports should maximize unrestricted access, so that connections can be mated and demated without affecting the qualification status of the spacecraft and with minimum risk to the surrounding flight hardware.

16.2.37.2 Test Connector Location and Types

Test connector and coupler port test access should be maintained throughout the buildup of the spacecraft and instrument, up to and including the final launch configuration.

16.2.37.3 Test Connector Accessibility

Test connectors should be accessible at the integrated Spacecraft level without disassembly.

16.2.37.4 Test Connector Documentation

The appropriate Electrical Interface Control Drawing should document test connectors and their locations.

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Page 100 of 114

16.2.37.5 Breakout Boxes

The Instrument Provider should furnish test tees and breakout boxes.

16.2.38 Buffer Connectors and Connector Savers

16.2.38.1 Connector Saver Utilization

Instrument buffer connectors and connector savers should be utilized prior to Spacecraft-level system tests.

16.3 Mechanical Interface Reference Material / Best Practices

16.3.1 Documentation

The MICD should document the Instrument component envelope (including kinematic mounts and MLI) as a "not to exceed" dimension.

16.3.2 Dynamic Envelope or Surfaces

For an instrument with mechanisms that cause a change in the external envelope or external surfaces of the Instrument, the initial and final configurations, as well as the swept volumes, should be contained within the envelope and documented in the MICD.

16.3.3 Instrument Mass

16.3.3.1 Mass Documentation and Tolerance

The MICD should document the mass of the Instrument, which should be measured to $\pm 1\%$.

16.3.4 <u>Center of Mass & Moments of Inertia</u>

16.3.4.1 *Measurement Precision*

The launch and on-orbit center of mass of each Instrument should be measured and reported to ± 5 mm, referenced to the Instrument coordinate axes as documented in the MICD.

16.3.4.2 *Measurement Accuracy*

Moments of inertia values should be accurate to within $\pm 10\%$

16.3.5 Documentation of Dynamic Mechanical Elements

The MICD should document whether the Instrument contains movable masses, expendable masses, or deployables, and their respective inertia variations.

16.3.6 Instrument Mounting

16.3.6.1 Documentation of Mounting

The MICD should document the mounting interface, method, and geometry, including dimensions of the holes for mounting hardware.

16.3.6.2 Instrument Mounting Fasteners

The Spacecraft Provider should furnish all instrument mounting fasteners.

16.3.6.3 *Kinematic Mounts*

The Instrument Provider should provide all kinematic mounts.

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
		Page 101 of 114

16.3.6.4 *Documentation of Instrument Mounting Hardware*

The MICD should define and document Instrument mounting hardware.

16.3.6.5 Documentation of Finish and Flatness Guidelines

The Spacecraft Contractor should specify finish and flatness guidelines for the mounting surfaces and document them in the MICD.

16.3.6.6 Metric Units

Mounting fasteners should be metric, in conformance with ISO standards, and meet the guidelines of *Metric Design Parameters for Male Threaded Fasteners* (AIA/NAS ANA0156) and *Federal Standard: Screw-Thread Standards for Federal Services* (FED-STD-H28A).

16.3.7 <u>Mounting Location</u>

16.3.7.1 Documentation of Instrument Mounting Location

The MICD should document the mounting location of the Instrument on the Spacecraft.

16.3.8 Drill Template

16.3.8.1 Drill Template Usage

Instrument, spacecraft, and test fixture interfaces should be drilled using templates to correctly establish the mounting hole patterns. The MICD should document drill template details and serialization.

16.3.9 Optical Alignment Cubes

The MICD should document the location of all optical alignment cubes on the Instrument.

16.3.10 Access, Handling, and Servicing Accessibility

16.3.10.1 Installation/Removal

The Instrument should be capable of being installed or removed in its launch configuration without disturbing the primary payload.

16.3.10.2 Mechanical Attachment Points

The Instrument should provide mechanical attachment points that will be used by a handling fixture during integration of the instrument. The MICD should document details of the mechanical attachment points.

16.3.10.3 Accessibility of Red Tag Items

All "red tag" items that are planned to be removed or installed from the instrument should be accessible without disassembly of another instrument component.

16.3.11 <u>Test points and Test guidelines</u>

16.3.11.1 Marking and Documentation

All test points and I&T interfaces should be clearly marked and designated on the MICD.

16.3.12 Documentation of Orientation Constraints

The MICD should document instrument mechanisms, thermal control, or any exclusions to testing and operations related to orientations.

Common Instrument Interface Project		
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline
		Page 102 of 114

16.3.13 Identification

All items to be installed prior to or removed following test, and all items to be installed or removed prior to flight, *etc.* should be documented and clearly identified.

16.3.14 <u>Temporary Sensors</u>

The Instrument should accommodate temporarily installed sensors (e.g. acceleration sensors, thermal monitors, etc.) and supporting hardware to support environmental testing.

16.3.15 <u>Venting and Purge</u>

16.3.15.1 Venting Documentation

The MICD should document the number, location, size, vent path, and operation time of Instrument vents.

16.3.16 <u>Purge Documentation</u>

Instrument purge guidelines, including type of purge gas, flow rate, gas purity specifications, filter pore size, type of desiccant (if any), and what (if any) interruptions in the purge (and their duration) are tolerable, should be documented upon delivery.

16.3.17 Fields of View Allocation

16.3.17.1 Documentation of Coordinate System

The MICD should document the coordinate system.

16.3.18 <u>Mechanisms</u>

16.3.18.1 Caging During Test and Launch Site Operations

Instrument mechanisms which require caging and/or uncaging during test and launch site operations should be capable of being caged and uncaged by command and/or by manual actuation of accessible locking/unlocking devices.

16.3.19 <u>Captive Hardware</u>

All items planned to be installed, removed, or replaced during integration should use captive hardware except Instrument mounting hardware and MLI.

16.3.20 <u>Combined Structural Dynamics Analysis Results</u>

The Spacecraft Provider should furnish the combined structural dynamics analysis results to the respective Instrument Provider.

16.3.21 <u>Allowable Angular Momentum</u>

Steady state angular momentum reacted from the Instrument to the Spacecraft should be less than $0.5 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{s}$ per axis.

16.3.22 <u>Handling Fixtures</u>

16.3.22.1 Responsibility for Providing Handling Fixtures

The Instrument Provider should provide proof tested handling fixtures for each component with mass in excess of 16 kg.

Common Instrument Interface Project				
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
		Page 103 of 114		

16.3.23 Load Margins

Handling and lifting fixtures should be designed to five (5) times limit load for ultimate and three (3) times limit load for yield. Handling fixtures should be tested to two (2) times working load.

16.3.24 Interface Alignment Cube (IAC) and Alignment Parameters

16.3.24.1 Instrument Interface Alignment Cube

The Instrument should contain an optical cube, to be referred to as the Interface Alignment Cube (IAC), nominally aligned with the Instrument Reference Coordinate Frame defined in the MICD.

16.3.24.2 Interface Alignment Cube Location

The Instrument Provider should locate the IAC in close proximity to the Instrument mounting interface and should make it visible from at least two orthogonal directions.

16.3.24.3 Pointing Accuracy, Knowledge, and Stability

The Instrument Provider should specify the pointing accuracy, knowledge, and stability guidelines in the MICD.

16.3.25 Instrument Boresight

The Instrument Provider is responsible for measuring the alignment angles between the IAC and the Instrument boresight and it should be documented in the MICD.

16.3.26 Kinematic Mount Fracture Guidelines

16.3.26.1 Fracture Critical Components

Kinematic mounts should be analyzed, designed, fabricated, and inspected as fracture critical components.

16.3.27 <u>Non-Destructive Evaluation</u>

Kinematic mount flight hardware should be proof loaded and inspected for micro cracks using Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE) techniques.

16.4 Thermal Interface Reference Material / Best Practices

16.4.1 <u>Heat Transfer Hardware</u>

The use of heat transfer hardware such as heat pipes and high thermal conductivity straps should be considered to make the Instrument design flexible for easier accommodation on the Spacecraft.

16.4.2 <u>Survivability at Very Low Temperature</u>

The Instrument should use components that can survive at very low allowable flight temperature levels to minimize the survival power demands on the Spacecraft.

16.4.3 Implementation of Cooling Function

The Instrument Designer should consider the use of thermoelectric coolers or mechanical coolers if cryogenic temperatures are required for the instrument to ease the restrictions on Instrument radiator orientations.

Common Instrument Interface Project				
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
		Page 104 of 114		

16.4.4 Implementation of High Thermal Stability

The use of high thermal capacity hardware such as phase change material thermal storage should be considered to meet the high thermal stability requirement.

16.4.5 <u>Survival Power and Temperature</u>

16.4.5.1 Minimum Turn-On Temperatures

The Instrument should maintain minimum turn-on temperatures via survival power and heaters controlled by mechanical thermostats.

16.4.5.2 Surviving Arbitrary Pointing Orientations

The Instrument should be capable of surviving arbitrary pointing orientations without permanent degradation of performance for a minimum of three (3) orbits with survival power only.

16.4.6 <u>Temperature Maintenance</u>

16.4.6.1 Documentation of Temperature Limits

The MICD should document temperature limits for Instrument components during ground test and on-orbit scenarios.

16.4.7 <u>Temperature Monitoring</u>

16.4.7.1 Documentation of Monitoring Location

The MICD should document the location of all Instrument temperature sensors.

16.4.7.2 Temperature Monitoring During OFF Mode

The Instrument Designer should assume that Spacecraft may not be able to provide temperature monitoring of the payload when it is OFF.

16.4.8 <u>Thermal Hardware</u>

16.4.8.1 Thermal Control Hardware Documentation

The MICD should document Instrument thermal control hardware.

16.4.8.2 Closeout Thermal Hardware Responsibility

The Spacecraft Provider should be responsible for thermal hardware used to close-out the interfaces between the Instrument and Spacecraft, such as close-out MLI.

16.4.8.3 Survival Heater Responsibility

The Instrument Provider should provide and install Instrument survival heaters.

16.4.8.4 Mechanical Thermostats

The Instrument should control Instrument survival heaters via mechanical thermostats.

16.4.8.5 *Survival Heater Power*

Instrument survival heaters should obtain survival heater power from the Spacecraft survival heater bus and should be limited to no more than 20% of the average Instrument power.

Common Instrument Interface Project				
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
		Page 105 of 114		

16.4.8.6 Survival Heater Documentation

The MICD should document survival heater characteristics, as well as, mounting locations and attachment details.

16.4.9 <u>Thermal Analytical Models</u>

16.4.10 <u>Comparison of Detailed Thermal Analysis and Reduce Node Model</u> The Instrument Provider should furnish the Spacecraft Provider with a written report documenting the results of the detailed thermal analysis and the comparison of results to the reduced node model, including a high-level energy balance and heat flow map.

16.4.11 Launch Thermal Environment

The Instrument should be designed for the launch heating profile as specified in the MICD.

16.5 Environmental Reference Material / Best Practices

16.5.1 <u>Temporary Loss of Function or Loss of Data</u>

Temporary loss of function or loss of data is permitted, provided that the loss does not compromise instrument health and full performance can be recovered rapidly.

16.5.2 <u>Restoration of Normal Operation and Function</u>

To minimize loss of data, normal operation and function should be restored via internal correction methods without external intervention.

16.5.3 <u>Irreversible Actions</u>

Irreversible actions should not be permitted. The hardware design should have no parts which experience radiation induced latch-up to an effective LET of 75 $MeV/mg/cm^2$ and a fluence of 107 ions/cm².

16.5.4 <u>Electromagnetic Interference & Compatibility Environment</u>

16.5.4.1 Magnetic Field Emissions

The instrument should be designed with the magnetic field emissions in accordance with Table 16-7.

Table 16-7 : Magnetic Field Emissions (RE04)

Magnetic Field Emissions

AC Magnetic Field Emissions: The radiated AC magnetic field levels from the instrument should be limited to 60 dB above 1 pT between 20 Hz and 50 kHz using the RE04 test method of MIL-STD-462. The measurement bandwidth should be 10 Hz between 20 Hz and 200 Hz; 100 Hz between 200 Hz and 20kHz; and 1 kHz between 20 kHz and 50 kHz.

DC Magnetic Field Emissions: The residual magnetic dipole moment of the instrument should be less than 0.5 A·m².

16.5.4.2 Magnetic Field Susceptibility

The instrument should be designed in accordance with the magnetic field susceptibility constraints in Table 16-8.

Common Instrument Interface Project				
Decument Net CIL CL 0001	Effective Dete: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline		
Document No. CII-CI-0001	Effective Date. 11/14/2011	Page 106 of 114		

Table 16-8 : Magnetic Field Susceptibility (RS01)

Magnetic Field Susceptibility

<u>AC Magnetic Field Susceptibility</u>: The instrument should operate within specification when subjected to an AC magnetic field level of 124 dB above 1 pT between 30 Hz and 200 kHz. The RS01 test method of MIL-STD-462 should be used for measurement.

<u>DC Magnetic Field Susceptibility</u>: The instrument should operate within specification when subjected to an ambient magnetic field consisting of the Earth's field (15 to 50 μ T), the fields generated by neighboring instruments (3 μ T maximum), and the field produced by the spacecraft magnetic torquers (1000 μ T maximum).

16.5.4.3 Conducted Emissions (CE102)

The electromagnetic emissions from the instrument, conducted on the power lead(s) and/or the power return lead(s), should not exceed the levels specified in requirement CE102 of MIL-STD-461F based upon the instrument source voltage (see Figure 16-20.)

Verification testing of requirement CE102, as defined in MIL-STD-461F, should be performed on all instrument power leads and power return leads that obtain power from sources that are not a part of the instrument.







16.5.4.4 Radiated Emissions (RE102)

The electric field emissions radiated from the instrument should not exceed the levels specified in the portion of requirement RE102 of MIL-STD-461F defined for spacecraft (see Figure 16-21).

MIL-STD-461F defines verification testing of requirement RE102, which is applicable to all instrument enclosures, interconnecting cables and antennas designed to be permanently mounted to the instrument (receivers and transmitters in standby mode). RE102 does not apply at the transmitter fundamental frequencies and the necessary occupied bandwidth of the signal. Requirement RE102 is applicable from 10 kHz to 18 GHz². Above 30 MHz, the limits should be met for both horizontally and vertically polarized fields.

² Testing is required up to the greater of 1 GHz or 10 times the highest intentionally generated frequency within the instrument. Measurements beyond 18 GHz are not required.





16.5.4.5 Conducted Susceptibility (CS101)

The instrument should not exhibit any malfunction, degradation of performance, or deviation from specified indications, beyond the tolerances indicated in the instrument specification, when subjected to requirement CS101 of MIL-STD-461F (see Figure 16-22 and Figure 16-23).




Figure 16-22: Conducted Susceptibility Voltage Limits (Reference)





Figure 16-23: Conducted Susceptibility Power Limits (Reference)

MIL-STD-461F defines verification testing of requirement CS101, which is applicable to instrument AC, limited to current draws less than or equal to 100 A per phase, and DC input power leads, not including returns. If the instrument is DC operated, this requirement is applicable over the frequency range of 30 Hz to 150 kHz. If the instrument is AC operated, this requirement is applicable starting from the second harmonic of the instrument power frequency and extending to 150 kHz.

16.5.4.6 Conducted Susceptibility (CS114)

The instrument should not exhibit any malfunction, degradation of performance, or deviation from specified indications beyond the tolerances indicated in the instrument specification, when subjected to requirement CS114 of MIL-STD-461F. The injection probe drive level must be precalibrated to the current limits defined in curve #3 of Figure 16-24 and modulated as specified in requirement CS114.





Figure 16-24: Conducted Susceptibility Current Limits (Reference)

Requirement CS114 may also be satisfied if the instrument is not susceptible at forward power levels sensed by the directional coupler that are below those determined during calibration provided that the actual current induced in the cable under test is 6 dB or greater than the calibration limit.

MIL-STD-461F defines verification testing of requirement CS114, which is applicable for all interconnecting cables, including power cables but is not applicable for coaxial cables to antenna ports of antenna-connected receivers.

16.5.4.7 Conducted Susceptibility (CS115)

The instrument should not exhibit any malfunction, degradation of performance, or deviation from specified indications, beyond the tolerances indicated in the instrument specification, when subjected to a pre-calibrated signal having rise and fall times, pulse width, and amplitude as specified in requirement CS115 of MIL-STD-461F (see Figure 16-25).





Figure 16-25: Conducted Susceptibility Current Limits (Reference)

MIL-STD-461F defines verification testing of requirement CS115, which is applicable to all space and ground system interconnecting cables, including power cables.

16.5.4.8 Conducted Susceptibility (CS116)

The instrument should not exhibit any malfunction, degradation of performance, or deviation from specified indications, beyond the tolerances indicated in the instrument specification, when subjected to requirement CS116 of MIL-STD-461F. Figure 16-26 depicts the test signal waveform, and Figure 16-27 specifies the maximum current. The current limit is applicable across the entire specified frequency range.

MIL-STD-461F defines Verification testing of requirement CS116, which is applicable to all interconnecting cables, including power cables, and individual high side power leads. Power returns and neutrals need not be tested individually.

As a minimum, compliance should be demonstrated at 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 30, and 100 MHz. Compliance should also be demonstrated at other frequencies known to be critical to the equipment installation, such as platform resonances. The test signal repetition rate should be no

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
		Page 113 of 114	

greater than one pulse per second and no less than one pulse every two seconds. The pulses should be applied for a period of five minutes.



NOTES: 1. Normalized waveform: e^{-(πf t)/Q}sin(2πft)

Where: f = Frequency (Hz) t = Time (sec) Q = Damping factor, 15±5

2. Damping factor (Q) shall be determined as follows:

$$Q = \frac{\pi(N-1)}{\ln(I_P/I_N)}$$

Where: Q = Damping factor N = Cycle number (i.e. N = 2, 3, 4, 5,...) I_p = Peak current at 1st cycle I_N = Peak current at cycle closest to 50% decay In = Natural log

3. IP as specified in Figure CS116-2

Figure 16-26: Conducted Susceptibility Waveform (Reference)

Common Instrument Interface Project			
Document No: CII-CI-0001	Effective Date: 11/14/2011	Version: Baseline	
		Page 114 of 114	



Figure 16-27: Conducted Susceptibility Current Limits (Reference)

16.5.4.9 Radiated Susceptibility (RS103)

The instrument should not exhibit any malfunction, degradation of performance, or deviation from specified indications, beyond the tolerances indicated in the instrument specification, when subjected to requirement RS103 of MIL-STD-461F (a radiated electric field of 20 Volts/meter modulated between 2 MHz and 40 GHz).

MIL-STD-461F defines verification testing of requirement RS103, which is applicable to instrument enclosures and all interconnecting cables. For modulations \leq 30 MHz, the requirement should be met for vertically polarized fields. For modulation > 30 MHz, the requirement should be met for both horizontally and vertically polarized fields. Circular polarized fields are not acceptable. There is no requirement at the tuned frequency of antenna-connected receivers.